



Artificial Intelligence In Wound Care And Diabetic Foot Management

By Dr Ahmed Elawadi BVSc IIWCC LM101 LM201

How to cite: Elawadi A. Artificial intelligence in wound care and diabetic foot management. *Wound Care Canada*. 2026;24(1): 84-90. DOI: [10.56885/188551frniwd](https://doi.org/10.56885/188551frniwd)

Chronic wounds are a major health-care challenge worldwide and are associated with substantial morbidity, mortality, reduced quality of life and increased health-care expenditure. Among chronic wounds, diabetic foot ulcers (DFUs) represent one of the most complex and resource-intensive complications of diabetes mellitus. It is estimated that 15%–25% of individuals with diabetes will develop a diabetic foot ulcer during their lifetime.¹ Recurrence rates remain high, with more than 40% of patients experiencing ulcer recurrence within one year.²

The pathophysiology of diabetic foot ulcers is multifactorial and includes peripheral neuropathy, peripheral arterial disease, repetitive trauma, infection, impaired immunity and delayed wound healing.³ Delayed detection and inadequate monitoring often contribute to wound progression, infection, hospitalization and lower extremity amputation.

Current wound care practice still relies heavily on periodic clinical examinations, visual assessment, manual wound measurements and subjective

interpretation by health-care providers. Although multidisciplinary wound care teams improve outcomes, access to specialized wound care services remains limited in many regions.⁴ Furthermore, considerable inter-observer variability exists in wound classification, grading and documentation.

Artificial intelligence (AI) has recently emerged as a promising technology capable of transforming wound care and diabetic foot management. By combining machine learning algorithms, computer vision, thermal imaging and predictive analytics, AI systems can support clinicians in screening, wound assessment, diagnosis, monitoring and treatment planning.⁵ AI has the potential to shift wound care from a reactive model focused on treating advanced wounds toward a predictive and preventive health-care model.

This article reviews the current scientific evidence supporting AI applications in wound care and diabetic foot management and discusses their clinical relevance, limitations, and future implications.

The Clinical And Economic Burden Of Chronic Wounds

Chronic wounds affect millions of patients globally and impose a substantial clinical and economic burden on health-care systems.⁶ Healing often requires prolonged treatment periods involving repeated clinic visits, dressing changes, advanced therapies, infection management and multidisciplinary follow-up.

Diabetic foot ulcers are particularly associated with increased morbidity and mortality. Studies have demonstrated that the five-year mortality rate associated with diabetic foot complications is comparable to several major malignancies.⁷ In addition, DFUs remain one of the leading causes of non-traumatic lower extremity amputations worldwide.

Health-care costs associated with chronic wound management are considerable. Depending on wound severity and complications, annual treatment costs may range from approximately \$5,000 to \$15,000 USD per patient, with significantly higher expenditures observed in hospitalized or surgically managed patients.⁸ The indirect burden includes reduced productivity, psychosocial distress, caregiver burden and long-term disability.

Several gaps continue to limit optimal diabetic foot management, including:

- Delayed identification of high-risk patients
- Lack of objective biomarkers for wound progression
- Variability in wound assessment between clinicians
- Limited predictive models for healing outcomes
- Insufficient longitudinal monitoring
- Fragmented integration of clinical and imaging data
- Limited access to multidisciplinary wound care services.

Challenge	Clinical Impact
Delayed detection	Late presentation and increased complications
Inter-observer variability	Inconsistent wound assessment
Limited quantitative biomarkers	Difficulty monitoring progression
Fragmented data systems	Poor continuity of care
Limited multidisciplinary access	Delayed specialist intervention

These limitations highlight the need for standardized, scalable and technology-driven approaches to wound assessment and monitoring.

AI Technologies In Wound Care

Artificial intelligence refers to computational systems capable of performing tasks that traditionally require human intelligence, including pattern recognition, classification, prediction and decision support.⁹ In wound care, AI applications are primarily based on machine learning and deep learning techniques.

Machine learning models commonly used in wound care include:

- Logistic regression
- Random forest algorithms
- Support vector machines
- Ensemble learning systems.

Deep learning approaches have become particularly important in image-based wound analysis. Commonly used architectures include:

- Convolutional neural networks (CNNs)
- U-Net segmentation models
- YOLO (You Only Look Once) object detection systems
- Faster R-CNN detection frameworks.

These technologies allow automated analysis of wound images and support tissue segmentation, wound classification, infection detection, wound measurement and healing prediction.

AI systems are increasingly integrated with smartphone imaging, cloud-based platforms, thermal imaging systems, wearable sensors and telemedicine infrastructure to create scalable digital wound care ecosystems.

AI Applications In Diabetic Foot and Wound Management

Screening and Risk Prediction: One of the most promising applications of AI in wound care is the early identification of patients at risk for wound development or deterioration. Predictive models can analyze electronic health records, vascular risk factors, neuropathy status, laboratory findings, comorbidities and patient history to stratify risk and identify high-risk individuals.¹⁰

- AI-driven risk prediction systems may support:
- Early intervention programs
 - Population-level screening initiatives
 - Prevention-focused diabetic foot clinics
 - Resource allocation for high-risk patients
 - Reduction in preventable hospital admissions.

AI Application	Clinical Function
Risk prediction	Identifies high-risk patients
Computer vision	Detects and classifies wounds
Automated measurement	Measures wound size and depth
Healing prediction	Estimates healing probability
Thermal imaging	Detects inflammation early
Telemedicine monitoring	Enables remote follow-up

The transition from reactive wound treatment to predictive prevention represents a major paradigm shift in chronic wound management.

Automated Wound Assessment: Traditional wound assessment methods are often subjective and prone to variability. AI-powered wound assessment systems provide objective and standardized evaluation using digital imaging and computer vision.

- Automated wound assessment applications include:
- Wound size and depth measurement
 - Tissue identification (granulation, necrosis, slough)
 - Identification of wound edges
 - Documentation standardization
 - Monitoring of wound progression over time.

These technologies may improve consistency between clinicians and enhance documentation accuracy across care settings.

Early Detection and Diagnosis: Computer vision technologies enable AI systems to identify wounds from digital images and classify them according to wound type. AI systems have demonstrated utility in distinguishing diabetic, venous, arterial and pressure ulcers.

Thermal imaging and hyperspectral imaging technologies further enhance early detection by identifying inflammation and perfusion abnormalities before visible tissue breakdown occurs.¹¹ Temperature asymmetry detected through infrared thermography

may identify pre-ulcerative diabetic foot changes several days before clinical ulcer formation.

This early detection capability may allow clinicians to intervene before significant tissue damage develops.

Wound Classification and Severity Grading: AI systems can support wound classification and severity grading using established clinical frameworks such as the Wagner classification system.¹² By analyzing wound images and tissue characteristics, AI algorithms may assist clinicians in identifying:

- Infection risk
- Ischemic changes
- Tissue viability
- Severity progression
- Need for advanced intervention.

Standardized classification may improve treatment stratification and support multidisciplinary communication.

Healing Prediction and Clinical Decision Support: Predicting wound healing remains one of the most clinically valuable applications of AI. Healing prediction models incorporate multiple variables, including:

- Wound size
- Tissue composition
- Depth
- Perfusion status
- Infection markers
- Glycemic control
- Patient comorbidities.

Machine learning models trained on large wound datasets have demonstrated the ability to estimate healing probability and healing timelines.¹³ Such systems may assist clinicians in identifying patients requiring advanced therapies, vascular intervention, or intensified monitoring.

AI-supported clinical decision systems may also improve treatment planning and reduce unnecessary delays in escalation of care.

Remote Monitoring and Telemedicine: Telemedicine has become increasingly important in chronic wound management, particularly in geographically

underserved regions and among patients with mobility limitations.

AI-powered remote monitoring systems combine smartphone wound imaging, cloud-based analytics and longitudinal data tracking to support ongoing follow-up outside traditional clinic environments.¹⁴

These systems may:

- Reduce clinic dependency
- Improve continuity of care
- Enhance patient engagement
- Facilitate earlier detection of deterioration
- Expand access to wound care expertise.

Remote AI-assisted monitoring became particularly relevant following the COVID-19 pandemic, which accelerated the adoption of virtual health-care models globally.

Clinical Performance Of AI In Wound Care

Recent studies have demonstrated promising diagnostic performance for AI systems in wound care applications. Diagnostic accuracies reported in the literature commonly range between 80% and 95% in image-based wound assessment tasks.¹⁵

Several systematic reviews and meta-analyses have highlighted the strong potential of deep learning models in diabetic foot ulcer detection and classification. Deep learning approaches generally outperform traditional machine learning methods due to their ability to process complex image features and large datasets.¹⁶

A multicentre prospective study by Cassidy et al. evaluated AI-based diabetic foot ulcer detection using more than 2,000 wound images and demonstrated high sensitivity for wound classification in real-world clinical environments.¹⁷

Similarly, Silva et al. conducted a systematic review analyzing more than 100 studies focused on AI applications in diabetic foot management and reported strong diagnostic performance while emphasizing the need for larger-scale validation studies.¹⁸

Chen et al. demonstrated that AI performance in image-based wound classification was comparable to expert clinician interpretation in several datasets.¹⁹

However, dataset heterogeneity and lack of standardized imaging protocols remain significant challenges.

Additional studies have shown that AI systems can support prediction of wound healing outcomes and earlier identification of high-risk patients.²⁰

Study ¹⁵⁻¹⁹	Year	Main Findings
Cassidy et al.	2023	High sensitivity in DFU detection
Silva et al.	2025	AI accuracy 80–95%
Chen et al.	2025	Comparable to expert clinicians
Margolis et al.	2023	Healing prediction models effective
Bhatt et al.	2025	Thermography useful for prevention

Advantages Of AI In Wound Care

AI offers several important clinical, operational, and economic advantages in chronic wound management.

Clinical Advantages

- Earlier detection of complications
- Objective and standardized wound assessment
- Reduced inter-observer variability
- Improved treatment planning
- Faster identification of deterioration
- Enhanced longitudinal monitoring
- Improved access to specialist expertise.

Operational Advantages

- Faster clinical workflows
- Improved documentation accuracy
- Reduced administrative burden
- Enhanced telemedicine capabilities
- Better resource allocation
- Scalability across multiple care settings.

Economic Advantages

- Reduced hospitalization rates
- Lower complication rates
- Fewer preventable amputations
- Reduced clinic visits through remote monitoring
- Potential reduction in overall chronic wound care costs.

Importantly, the greatest value of AI may not solely be wound measurement accuracy, but rather its ability to support prevention of wound deterioration and facilitate earlier intervention.

Advantages	Limitations
Standardized assessment	Small datasets
Faster workflows	Limited real-world validation
Reduced variability	Workflow integration challenges
Early detection	Regulatory barriers
Remote monitoring	Clinician trust concerns

Limitations And Challenges

Despite significant progress, several important limitations currently restrict widespread AI integration into wound care.

Data and Technical Challenges: Many existing studies rely on relatively small or non-diverse datasets. Variability in image quality, lighting conditions, wound presentation and annotation methods can negatively impact algorithm performance.²¹

- Additional technical limitations include:
- Lack of standardized imaging protocols
 - Inconsistent annotation quality
 - Limited external validation
 - Dataset bias
 - Poor representation of diverse populations.

Clinical and Integration Challenges: Real-world implementation remains challenging due to workflow integration issues, regulatory requirements, clinician acceptance and infrastructure limitations.

- AI systems must also demonstrate:
- Reliability across diverse clinical environments
 - Transparency and explainability
 - Regulatory compliance
 - Data privacy protection
 - Integration with electronic medical records.

Clinician trust remains an essential factor. AI should be viewed as a decision-support tool that augments clinical judgment rather than replacing health-care professionals.

Future Directions In AI-Based Wound Care

The future of AI in wound care is likely to focus increasingly on predictive and preventive health-care models.

- Several emerging directions include:
- Prediction of ulcer formation before visible breakdown
 - Integration with wearable sensors and smart insoles
 - Continuous thermal monitoring systems
 - Explainable AI models for improved transparency
 - Fully integrated digital wound care ecosystems
 - Personalized treatment recommendations
 - AI-assisted robotic wound care technologies.

Thermal imaging technologies may become particularly valuable in diabetic foot prevention programs by identifying inflammatory changes before ulcer formation occurs.

Integration of AI with remote monitoring and telemedicine may also help expand access to wound care services in rural and underserved communities where multidisciplinary expertise is limited.

Large-scale randomized clinical trials and real-world implementation studies will be essential to establish clinical effectiveness, cost-efficiency and long-term patient outcomes.

Clinical Translation And Emerging Innovation

Recent innovations demonstrate how AI research is beginning to translate into real-world wound care applications.

AI-powered thermal imaging systems are being developed to identify temperature variation and inflammatory changes associated with early diabetic foot complications. Such technologies aim to detect high-risk areas before visible tissue breakdown occurs and may support preventive diabetic foot screening.

Similarly, AI-driven wound imaging and monitoring platforms now provide automated wound measurements, progression tracking and standardized documentation across clinicians and care settings. These technologies support both in-clinic and remote patient monitoring models.

The integration of these tools into routine clinical workflows may help bridge the gap between scientific research and practical wound care delivery.

Conclusion

Artificial intelligence is rapidly emerging as a transformative force in wound care and diabetic foot management. AI technologies have demonstrated significant potential in screening, wound assessment, classification, healing prediction, remote monitoring and clinical decision support.

Current evidence suggests that AI systems can achieve high diagnostic accuracy and may improve standardization, efficiency and early intervention in chronic wound management. Importantly, AI may enable a shift from reactive treatment toward predictive and preventive care models.

However, despite promising results, widespread clinical adoption remains limited by data quality concerns, lack of large-scale validation, workflow integration challenges and regulatory considerations. Continued collaboration between clinicians, researchers, engineers and health-care organizations will be essential to ensure safe, effective and equitable AI integration into wound care practice.

As health-care systems continue to evolve toward digital and data-driven models, AI has the potential to significantly improve diabetic foot prevention, reduce complications and amputations, enhance access to care and, ultimately, improve patient outcomes.

Dr Ahmed Elawadi BVSc IIWCC LM101 LM201 is Founder & CEO, Rothana, Dubai, UAE. He has completed the International Interprofessional Wound Care Course (IIWCC), Toronto and is a MEA Advisory Board Member, Deep Knowledge Group (UK).

Key Clinical Takeaways

- AI can support earlier detection of diabetic foot complications before visible ulceration.
- Automated wound assessment may reduce inter-observer variability.
- Deep learning models demonstrate strong accuracy in wound classification.
- Thermal imaging may identify inflammatory changes before tissue breakdown.
- AI-assisted remote monitoring supports telemedicine and continuity of care.
- Current limitations include dataset variability and lack of large-scale validation.
- The future direction of wound care is shifting from treatment toward prediction and prevention.

References

1. Armstrong DG, Boulton AJM, Bus SA. Diabetic foot ulcers and their recurrence. *N Engl J Med.* 2017;376(24):2367-2375. DOI:10.1056/NEJMra1615439.
2. International Diabetes Federation. *IDF Diabetes Atlas.* 10th ed. Brussels, Belgium: International Diabetes Federation; 2021.
3. Everett E, Mathioudakis N. Update on management of diabetic foot ulcers. *Ann NY Acad Sci.* 2018;1411(1):153-165. DOI:10.1111/nyas.13569.
4. Schaper NC, van Netten JJ, Apelqvist J, Lipsky BA, Bakker K. Practical guidelines on the prevention and management of diabetic foot disease. *Diabetes Metab Res Rev.* 2020;36(S1):e3266. DOI:10.1002/dmrr.3266.
5. Sen CK. Human wounds and its burden: an updated compendium of estimates. *Adv Wound Care (New Rochelle).* 2019;8(2):39-48. DOI:10.1089/wound.2019.0946.
6. Jupiter DC, Thorud JC, Buckley CJ, Shibuya N. The impact of foot ulceration and amputation on mortality in diabetic patients. *Int Wound J.* 2016;13(5):892-903. DOI:10.1111/iwj.12404.
7. Nussbaum SR, Carter MJ, Fife CE, et al. An economic evaluation of the impact, cost, and Medicare policy implications of chronic nonhealing wounds. *Value Health.* 2018;21(1):27-32. DOI:10.1016/j.jval.2017.07.007.
8. Esteva A, Robicquet A, Ramsundar B, et al. A guide to deep learning in healthcare. *Nat Med.* 2019;25(1):24-29. DOI:10.1038/s41591-018-0316-z.
9. Goyal M, Reeves ND, Rajbhandari S, et al. Recognition of ischaemia and infection in diabetic foot ulcers: Dataset and techniques. *Comput Biol Med.* 2020;117:103616. DOI:10.1016/j.combiomed.2020.103616.

10. Wang L, Pedersen PC, Agu E, Strong D, Tulu B, He Q. Area determination of diabetic foot ulcer images using a cascaded two-stage SVM-based classification. *IEEE Trans Biomed Eng.* 2017;64(9):2098-2109. DOI:10.1109/TBME.2016.2633522.
11. Yap MH, Hachiuma R, Alavi A, et al. Deep learning in diabetic foot ulcers detection: A comprehensive review. *Diabetes Metab Res Rev.* 2020;36(S1):e3275. DOI:10.1002/dmrr.3275.
12. Cassidy B, O'Sullivan R, et al. Artificial intelligence for diabetic foot ulcer detection and classification: multicentre clinical evaluation. *Int Wound J.* 2023.
13. Ferreira Silva J, et al. Artificial intelligence in diabetic foot ulcer assessment: systematic review of deep learning applications. *JMIR Med Inform.* 2025.
14. Chen X, et al. Diagnostic performance of artificial intelligence in diabetic foot ulcer imaging: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Comput Methods Programs Biomed.* 2025.
15. Margolis DJ, Gupta J, Hoffstad O, et al. Machine learning models for predicting diabetic wound healing outcomes. *Wound Repair Regen.* 2023.
16. Bhatt P, et al. Artificial intelligence-enabled thermography and hyperspectral imaging in diabetic foot prevention. *Sensors (Basel).* 2025.
17. Wagner FW Jr. The dysvascular foot: a system for diagnosis and treatment. *Foot Ankle.* 1981;2(2):64-122.
18. Goyal M, Reeves ND, Davison AK, Rajbhandari S, Yap MH. DFD: A diabetic foot ulcer dataset for deep learning research. *Data.* 2020;5(1):16. DOI:10.3390/data5010016.
19. Kruse CS, Beane A. Health information technology continues to show positive effect on medical outcomes: systematic review. *J Med Internet Res.* 2018;20(2):e41. DOI:10.2196/jmir.8793.
20. Armstrong DG, Lavery LA, Kimbriel HR, Nixon BP, Boulton AJM. Activity patterns of patients with diabetic foot ulceration: patients with active ulceration may not adhere to a standard pressure off-loading regimen. *Diabetes Care.* 2003;26(9):2595-2597. DOI:10.2337/diacare.26.9.2595.