



Foundations of Best Practice for Skin and Wound Management

BEST PRACTICE RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE Prevention and Management of Burns

Click to go to . . .

INTRODUCTION

STEP 1:
ASSESS

STEP 2:
GOALS

STEP 3:
TEAM

STEP 4:
PLAN OF CARE

STEP 5:
EVALUATE

Marc Jeschke MD PhD FACS FCCM FRCS(C)

Crystal McCallum RN MCISc

Doug Baron BScPT IIWCC

Matthew Godleski MD

Judy Knighton RN MScN

Shahriar Shahrokhi MD FRCSC FACS



WoundsCANADA.ca

The best practice recommendation articles are special publications of *Wound Care Canada*. Together they form the Foundations of Best Practice for Skin and Wound Management, an online resource available for free download from the Wounds Canada website (woundscanada.ca).

We would like to thank everyone involved in the production of past and present versions of these articles for their hard work, diligence and rigour in researching, writing and producing these valuable resources.

Executive Editor: Sue Rosenthal

Project Editor: Heather L. Orsted

Editorial Assistant: Katie Bassett

Copy Editor: Allyson Latta

Art Direction and Layout: Robert Ketchen

Reviewers: Pat Coutts, Robyn Evans, David H. Keast, Charis Kelly, Janet L. Kuhnke

This paper was produced by the Canadian Association of Wound Care (Wounds Canada).

How to cite this document:

Jeschke M, McCallum C, Baron D, Godleski M, Knighton J, Shahrokhi S. Best practice recommendations for the prevention and management of burns. In: Foundations of Best Practice for Skin and Wound Management. A supplement of Wound Care Canada; 2018. 68 pp. Retrieved from: www.woundscanada.ca/docman/public/health-care-professional/bpr-workshop/1308-bpr-for-the-prevention-and-management-of-burns/file.

woundscanada.ca

info@woundscanada.ca

© 2018 Canadian Association of Wound Care
All rights reserved. 1791r3E

Last updated 2021 03 04

Foundations of Best Practice for Skin and Wound Management

BEST PRACTICE RECOMMENDATIONS FOR THE Prevention and Management of Burns

Marc Jeschke MD PhD FACS FCCM FRCS(C)

Crystal McCallum RN MCISc

Doug Baron BScPT IIWCC

Matthew Godleski MD

Judy Knighton RN MScN

Shahriar Shahrokhi MD FRCSC FACS

Introduction



Introduction

Burns are injuries to the skin that occur when the skin or other tissues are damaged by contact with heat (scalds from liquids spilled or liquid immersion, grease or steam; contact burns; and fire, flash or flame), electricity, radiation or chemicals. Burn injuries can be devastating and without appropriate treatment can result in slow healing, infection, scar formation and disfigurement, contractures, joint dysfunction, pain, and psychological and spiritual stress. Worldwide, burns are among the leading causes of death of young people. In 2004 a report issued by the World Health Organization (WHO) listed fire-related burns as the ninth leading cause of death for children aged 5–14 and the 15th leading cause of death for children aged 0–4 and teens and young adults aged 15–29.¹ Globally most children with burns are boys, who also have a higher mortality rate related to burn injuries. The WHO links the risk of death from injuries such as burns with poverty, noting a pattern of injury-related deaths in many low- and lower middle-income countries. The reasons for this include unsafe living and working conditions, lack of focus on prevention efforts, and poor access to quality emergency trauma care and rehabilitation services. In Canada this is reflected in the disproportionate age-standardized rate (2001–2006) of hospitalizations for fire-related injuries (excluding Quebec, population aged 0–19) in areas where at least 33% of the population reported a First Nations or Métis identity, especially First Nations and Métis children aged 0–9 and First Nations children aged 10–19.²


Although the age-standardized rate of emergency room visits and hospitalizations related to fire/burn injuries in Canada has declined over time, burn injuries continue to be a concern in the health-care system. In 2010, 234 Canadians died of injuries related to fire/burns, representing 1.47% of the total injury-related deaths in Canada (see Table 1).³

Table 1: Fire/Burn Injury Morbidity/Mortality in Canada, 2010

Description	Deaths	Hospitalizations	Emergency Room Visits	Permanent Partial Disability	Permanent Total Disability
Fires/Burns	234	2,099	43,684	982	50
Total Injuries	15,866	231,596	3,492,148	55,717	4,425
Percentage	1.47	0.91	1.25	1.76	1.13


A search of the electronic Canadian Hospitals Injury Reporting and Prevention Program (CHIRPP) database for emergency department visits revealed that just over half the burns sustained in 2013 were scalds (52.3%), followed by contact with hot objects (29.9%) (see Figure 1).⁴

Figure 1: Distribution (Percentage) of Burn Injuries by Cause, 2013



While the overall proportion of burns was slightly higher among females, with females experiencing scald-related burns more often than males, males experienced burns from hot objects, fire/flame/smoke, electricity and the sun slightly more frequently than females (see Figure 2).⁴

Figure 2: Distribution (Percentage) of Burn Injuries by Cause and Sex, 2013



Residential fires, recorded and analyzed in the Canadian National Fire Information Database, are one cause of heat-related burns. Between 2005 and 2015 there were approximately 7,130 residential fires per year in Canada, with a total of 830 non-firefighter deaths and 4,656 injuries.⁵ Of these fires, just over two-thirds had no present and/or functioning life-safety system (e.g., smoke alarm and/or sprinkler protection); these fires resulted in 80% of deaths. Residential fire deaths most often involve persons who are males, older adults, children under the age of five and Indigenous populations.

In Canada, burns are the fifth leading cause of serious work injury. In 2013, 5.7% of people aged 15–74 who experienced a serious injury at work sustained a burn, scald or chemical burn.⁶ A retrospective study of 1,427 patients admitted to a regional burn centre at a university teaching hospital in Ontario, Canada, reported that, of the 23% admitted between January 2001 and December 2010 for work-related burns, the mean age was 40.5 ± 11.9 years, 95% were males, and 69% were from the immediate urban area. Work-related burns in this study also predominantly affected the upper extremities, head and neck, with the most common causes being flame (32.7%), electrical (27%) and scald (19.7%), and the most common groups affected being manual labourers (44.5%) and electricians (13%). The most severe burns were associated with truck drivers, who, because of motor vehicle accidents, sustained flame or tar burns.⁷

Burn injuries are not only a burden in Canada due to mortality and morbidity; also they place a strain on health-care resources. In 2010, the total injury costs related to fire/burns in Canada was \$366 million (1.4% of the total costs associated with injuries in Canada), with the direct costs (health-care costs) being \$177 million and the indirect costs \$188 million (costs related to reduced productivity, disability and premature death).³



Photo courtesy of David H. Keast

The Wound Prevention and Management Cycle

This paper offers a practical, easy-to-follow guide that incorporates the best available evidence. It outlines a process, or series of consecutive steps, that supports patient-centred care. The process, called the Wound Prevention and Management Cycle (see Figure 3), guides the clinician through a logical and systematic method for developing a customized plan for the prevention and management of burn injuries, from the initial assessment to a sustainable treatment plan targeting self-management for the patient.


The recommendations in this document are intended to support all members of the health-care team—including patients and their families—in planning and delivering the best care possible. Two foundational papers supplement this document with additional evidence-informed information and recommendations that are general to all wound types: “[Skin: Anatomy, Physiology and Wound Healing](#),” and “[Best Practice Recommendations for the Prevention and Management of Wounds](#).”^{8–9}

There are three guiding principles within the best practice recommendations (BPRs) that support effective prevention and management of skin breakdown:

1. The use of the Wound Prevention and Management Cycle, regardless of the specifics, to prevent and manage skin breakdown
2. The constant, accurate and multidirectional flow of meaningful information within the team and across all care settings
3. The patient as the core of all decision making



Figure 3: The Wound Prevention and Management Cycle



Quick Reference Guide

The quick reference guide (QRG) (see Table 1) provides the recommendations associated with the five steps of the Wound Prevention and Management Cycle (see Figure 3, above). These recommendations are further discussed with the supporting evidence.

Table 1: Wound Prevention and Management Quick Reference Guide

Step	Recommendation	Evidence
1 Assess and/or Reassess	1.1 Select and use validated patient assessment tools. 1.2 Identify risk and causative factors that may impact skin integrity and wound healing. 1.2.1 Patient: Physical, emotional and lifestyle 1.2.2 Environmental: Socio-economic, care setting, potential for self-management 1.2.3 Systems: Health-care support and communication 1.3 Complete a wound assessment, if applicable.	1a – IV 1a – IV 1a – IV
2 Set Goals	2.1 Set goals for prevention, healing, non-healing and non-healable wounds. 2.1.1 Identify goals based on prevention or healability of wounds. 2.1.2 Identify quality-of-life and symptom-control goals.	1a – IV
3 Assemble the Team	3.1 Identify appropriate health-care professionals and service providers. 3.2 Enlist the patient and their family and caregivers as part of the team. 3.3 Ensure organizational and system support.	IV IV IV
4 Establish and Implement a Plan of Care	4.1 Identify and implement an evidence-informed plan to correct the causes or co-factors that affect skin integrity, including patient needs (physical, emotional and social), the wound (if applicable) and environmental/system challenges. 4.2 Optimize the local wound environment aided through 4.2.1 Cleansing 4.2.2 Debriding 4.2.3 Managing bacterial balance 4.2.4 Managing moisture balance 4.3 Select the appropriate dressings and/or advanced therapy. 4.4 Engage the team to ensure consistent implementation of the plan of care.	IV 1a – IV 1a – IV 1a – IV
5 Evaluate Outcomes	5.1 Determine if the outcomes have met the goals of care. 5.2 Reassess patient, wound, environment and system if goals are partially met or unmet. 5.3 Ensure sustainability to support prevention and reduce risk of recurrence.	IV 1b – IV IV

Each recommendation above is supported by the level of evidence employed by the Registered Nurses' Association of Ontario (RNAO) guideline development panels (see Table 2). For more detailed information, refer to the designated references.

Table 2: Levels of Evidence¹³

Ia	▪ Evidence obtained from meta-analysis or systematic review of randomized controlled trials
Ib	▪ Evidence obtained from at least one randomized controlled trial
IIa	▪ Evidence obtained from at least one well-designed controlled study without randomization
IIb	▪ Evidence obtained from at least one other type of well-designed quasi-experimental study
III	▪ Evidence obtained from well-designed non-experimental descriptive studies, such as comparative studies, correlation studies and case studies
IV	▪ Evidence obtained from expert committee reports or opinions and/or clinical experiences of respected authorities

Used with permission from: Registered Nurses' Association of Ontario.

Step 1: Assess and/or Reassess



Step 1: Assess and/or Reassess

Recommendations

1.1 Select and use validated patient assessment tools.

The use of validated and standardized patient and risk assessment tools is essential for identifying factors that may impede healing and quality of life and for providing direction for preventative care or management.⁸ Most existing validated tools are for use with the adult population.

Discussion:

Burn size: The total burn area is expressed as a percentage of the total body surface area (TBSA). The most common methods used to estimate the TBSA involved in a burn injury include palmar surface, the Lund and Browder chart and the Wallace Rule of Nines. These tools are further discussed in Recommendation 1.3.

Hand burn severity: Although the surface area of the hand is relatively small compared to the total body surface area, the functionality of the hand is significantly important in the completion of activities of daily living, and thus hand burns are considered major. The importance of the hand was demonstrated when the universal Hand Burn Severity (HABS) score was devised and validated to quickly describe the severity of a hand burn injury.¹¹

Mortality risk assessment: Prediction of mortality secondary to burn injury is useful, as knowing a patient's projected mortality may improve triage and help to identify the most appropriate plan of care. The FLAMES score is an example of a validated tool that can predict burn-injury-related mortality of patient populations of similar characteristics, e.g., age or sex.¹¹

Anxiety assessment: Anxiety experienced by burn patients is often related to pain-producing situations, such as confrontation with wounds, dressing changes and surgical procedures.¹² The Burns Specific Pain Anxiety Scale is a valid and reliable tool that provides a standardized assessment for identifying burn patients with feelings of anticipatory anxiety and worry who require further assessment and intervention.¹³

Quality-of-life assessment: Burn injuries that are extensive, slow healing, disfiguring, painful or that result in contractures and/or joint dysfunction may cause psychological stress and significantly impact the patient's quality of life.¹² Use of a validated quality-of-life (QoL) assessment tool may be beneficial to identify potential barriers and patient lifestyle issues that may interfere with positive participation in the plan of care. Examples of validated tools to assess burn-injury-related QoL in adults include the Burn Specific Health Scale—Abbreviated, Burn Specific Health Scale—Revised, and Burn Specific Health Scale—Brief. For the pediatric population, burn-related QoL tools include the range of age-specific Burn Outcomes Questionnaires^{14–16} and the series of Health Outcomes Burn Questionnaires (for children aged 0–5 years and 6–17 years).^{16–17}

Coping assessment: Burn patients undergo extreme physical and psychological stress. To promote recovery after hospital discharge, patients must be equipped with productive coping skills. The Coping with Burns Questionnaire was developed to measure coping (re-evaluation/adjustment, avoidance, emotional support, optimism/problem-solving, self-control and instrumental action) of adult burn patients after discharge.

Scar assessment: Scar assessment scales provide a systematic approach for assessing and documenting scar quality and evolution and are valuable instruments in the clinical evaluation and follow-up of scars. Examples of validated scar assessment scales include the Matching Assessment of Scars and Photographs,¹⁸ Patient and Observer Scar Assessment Scale,¹⁹ Satisfaction with Appearance Scale²⁰ and Vancouver Burn Scar Assessment Scale.²¹

Wound assessment tools: Assessment of any wound and documentation of findings also requires a standardized approach using a comprehensive, validated and reliable wound assessment tool. Such tools not only provide a baseline of wound characteristics, but also identify wound change over time, which helps determine if a wound is progressing toward closure or is deteriorating. Currently there are no burn-specific wound assessment tools.

Pain assessment: Pain assessment tools provide a systematic approach for assessing and documenting pain as well as the factors that are causing or exacerbating wound-related pain. The accurate assessment of pain is essential to ensure patients experience effective pain management. Currently there are no burn-injury-specific pain assessment tools. Examples of validated pain assessment tools that could be used to assess for burn-injury pain include the visual analogue scale, the numeric rating scale, the verbal rating scale, the Brief Pain Inventory and the McGill pain questionnaire.²²

Nutrition screening: Nutritional screening tools provide a standardized approach for identifying patients who are at risk of compromised nutrition and who require further nutritional assessment and intervention. Currently there are no burn-injury-specific nutritional screening tools. Examples of validated nutritional screening tools that could be considered for use with burn injury patients include the Subjective Global Assessment, the Malnutrition Screening Tool, the Nutrition Risk Screening-2002, the Malnutrition Universal Screening Tool, the Subjective Nutrition Assessment Questionnaire and the Canadian Nutrition Screening Tool.^{23–24}

Wound and pain assessment and nutrition screening tools are discussed in detail in “Best Practice Recommendations for the Prevention and Management of Wounds.”

1.2 Identify risk and causative factors that may impact skin integrity and wound healing.

Discussion:

Risk assessment: Different populations have statistically higher or lower risk for different types of burn injuries. Table 3 reflects the highest proportion of burn injuries by age, sex and cause in 2013. Injury statistics were obtained from the database of the Canadian Hospitals Injury Reporting and Prevention Program (CHIRPP), Public Health Agency of Canada.⁴

Table 3: Highest Proportion of Burns by Age⁴

Age/Sex	Burn Cause
Children ≤ 1 year	Scalds (contact with hot water, steam, food, oil, grease, liquid glue or liquid wax)
Children ≤ 4 years, especially those < 1 year	Contact burns
Females 20–29 years and males 50–64 years	Fire, flame, smoke
Males < 1 year and males 20–29 years	Electricity
Females and males 20–29 years	Sunburns (radiation)

The CHIRPP database revealed the two most common overall causes of burn injuries are:

- Scalds: hot beverages (34.1%) and hot water (not tap water) (28.9%)
- Contact burns: stoves/ovens (22%) and fireplaces/accessories (19.6%)

Common causes of electrical burns (tissue damage caused by the heat energy of an electrical current flowing through the body) in children are electrical and extension cords and wall outlets. Adults most often experience electrical burns both at home and at work caused by electronically powered devices. Most chemical burns result from workplace-related injuries; however, those that occur in the home are most often the result of exposure to corrosive agents. Common alkali substances in the home that may cause burn injury include cleaning products (ammonia), drain cleaners (caustic soda), oven cleaners, plaster or cement (lime) and fertilizers. Common acidic substances in the home that may cause chemical burns include glass polish (hydrofluoric acid), vinegar (acetic acid) and nail polish remover (acetic acid).

For children, most burn injuries occur in the home, whereas adults most commonly experience burns in the home, outdoors or in the workplace. In addition, although children and the elderly are most vulnerable to burn injury, those who cannot recognize or react to a dangerous burn-risk situation are at increased risk for burn injury, including persons with:

- Cognitive or mental impairment
- Impaired mobility and sensation
- Musculoskeletal or nervous system disorders²⁵

People suffering from mental illness are also vulnerable to burn injury. In their retrospective study of patients 16 years and older admitted to hospital in Ontario, Canada, and treated for a major burn injury (April 2003 to March 2011), Mason et al. observed that in a three-month period immediately preceding the burn there was a greater number of emergencies related to mental health issues.²⁶ These authors further state that “Mental illness is an independent risk factor for unintentional injury and injury recidivism (and) . . . suggest that the treatment of mental illness might be an important target for burn prevention efforts.”²⁶

Although there is no comprehensive tool available to assess one's general risk of burn injury, the statistics noted could aid one in developing a prevention plan based on age, sex and environment.

1.2.1 Patient: Physical, emotional and lifestyle

Discussion:

Assessment – Primary Survey: All people with burn injuries requiring or receiving assessment by a health-care professional should be evaluated first using the **ABCDEF** mnemonic, listed in the order of priority, to identify any life-threatening injuries:

- **Airway:** Assess for airway obstruction and for injuries that are physically restricting breathing, e.g., a burn or fracture to the jaw area, a circumferential burn around the chest or a lung penetration injury secondary to an explosion.
- **Breathing:** Evaluate breathing and ventilation. Watch for chest movement, auscultate and percuss to detect any conditions impeding ventilation, e.g., hemothorax, pneumothorax or flail chest. Observe for signs of smoke inhalation, including facial burns and swelling, singed nasal hairs, blackened sputum, hoarseness of voice and respiratory distress. Fibre-optic bronchoscopy is an accurate method of diagnosing the level and severity of an acute inhalation injury.

Upper Gastrointestinal Burns:

Ingestion of caustic materials may cause chemical burns to the oropharynx, tongue, esophagus, stomach and duodenum. Laryngeal edema may occur, producing upper airway obstructions.



- **Circulation:** Assess for signs of bleeding, hypovolemia and burn shock, e.g., low blood pressure and body temperature, rapid/weak/thready pulse, rapid breathing, cool/clammy/pale skin, confusion/anxiety/weakness and unconsciousness. Pulse oximetry can be used to quickly measure oxygenation of hemoglobin. If burn shock is suspected or the burn is of an electrical origin, consider the need for a 12-lead electrocardiogram, cardiac enzymes and continuous cardiac monitoring. In hospital, burn shock can be further evaluated using blood work (complete blood count, packed cell volume, urea and electrolyte concentration, clotting screen, arterial blood gases, blood group and save or crossmatch serum), echocardiogram, endoscopy, urinary catheterization (to measure output) and cardiac catheterization depending on the suspected severity of the burn injury. Chest, pelvic and lateral cervical spine x-rays, ultrasounds and CT scans may also assist with identification of injury secondary to blunt trauma. Chest x-rays are also helpful when assessing the severity of an inhalation injury.
- **Disability:** Complete a neurological assessment to establish the patient's level of consciousness (using a valid and reliable tool like the Glasgow Coma Scale), pupil size/symmetry/reaction and evidence of spinal cord injury or compartment syndrome. Symptoms of acute compartment syndrome include a new and persistent deep ache in a limb, numbness/tingling/electricity-like pain in a limb, swelling, tightness and bruising. Abdominal compartment syndrome may present as a tense, distended abdomen, low blood pressure, poor or absent urine output and abdominal pain with palpation. Blood work, ultrasound and use of a pressure monitor can help confirm the diagnosis.



- **Exposure:** Expose the patient's skin to more accurately determine the area of burn injury (this will be discussed in the secondary survey). All clothing and jewellery (especially rings, watches and bracelets with hand/arm burns) must be carefully removed to visualize the burned area and avoid the "tourniquet-like" effect of constricting items left in place when the resulting tissue edema increases.
- **Fluid resuscitation** (to be discussed in Step 4: Establish and Implement a Plan of Care)

Assessment – Secondary Survey: Once the primary survey has been completed and resuscitation is successfully underway, the secondary survey begins. The secondary survey consists of:

- A head-to-toe examination to rule out secondary injuries
- A systematic and detailed history of the patient's general health
- Identification of specific issues related to the burn injury
- A wound (burn injury) assessment

Common secondary injuries that may present as the result of a major burn include:

- Upper gastrointestinal (GI) erosions and ulcers/Curling ulcer (which usually present clinically with painless GI hemorrhage)
- Myoglobinuria and acute renal failure (manifested by an elevated serum creatinine and a fall in creatinine clearance)
- Sepsis
- Venous thromboembolism
- Microangiopathic hemolytic anemia

If the patient has experienced a chemical burn, it is important to consider the systemic effects of the absorption of the chemical product.

Heterotopic ossification is a less common burn injury complication, associated with larger burns located (most often) adjacent to the elbow joint. While rare, the rehabilitation implications of heterotopic ossification can be severe and should be considered in situations of increased pain and range of motion losses.²⁷

Minor Burn Assessment: Not all burns require assessment by a health-care professional. If a person has experienced a small (e.g., < 1% TBSA) minor superficial burn, e.g., a sunburn, or superficial partial-thickness burn that does not involve the face, eyes, ears, hands or perineum, that does not impede joint function, that is not caused by electricity or chemicals and that does not involve an inhalation injury, self-management may be appropriate. Those who are immunocompromised or medically complex, who cannot identify infection and complications and who do not know when to seek medical attention, or do not have the physical ability to self-treat, should seek assessment by a health-care professional.


As previously mentioned, patient with burns that are the result of fire or flame may have an associated inhalation injury and/or carbon monoxide poisoning, which must be assessed for. Patients presenting with electrical burns may also have bone fractures, spinal cord injury, peripheral nerve injury, neurophysical complications, electrocardiographic alterations, amputation and/or visceral injuries.²⁸

Peripheral nerve injuries (commonly affecting the median, ulnar and peroneal nerves) are associated not only with electrical burns, but with large, major burns, and in patients who are on mechanical ventilation or in hospital for longer periods of time, or who have increased surgical requirements.²⁹ Peripheral nerve injuries can happen acutely, but also often develop in the first weeks post-injury. Serial screening for sensory or motor changes especially in higher risk patients (as noted above) should be considered.

A detailed patient history should identify comorbidities that may interfere with healing and/or impair immunity, including diabetes mellitus, peripheral arterial disease, collagen vascular diseases, organ transplants, cancer and psychological factors. Choice of treatment may also be dependent on comorbidities, such as choice of oxygen therapy concentration in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. In their assessment clinicians also need to gather information on known allergies, current medications (prescription and non-prescription), lifestyle choices (e.g., smoking, substance abuse, level of physical activity, participation in high-risk activities), vocation and avocation, level of physical functioning (including mobility, gait, fatigue, eyesight, hearing, activities of daily living and use of assistive devices), hydration and nutrition, and psychological functioning (e.g., behavioural conditions, cognitive ability).

In addition to a nutrition-related blood screen, validated nutritional screening tools, such as those noted in Recommendation 1.1, provide a standardized approach for identifying patients who are at nutrition risk and who require further nutritional assessment and intervention.

The secondary survey should also identify the events preceding the burn injury. Circumstances of the injury should be explored, as care and outcomes can be influenced by the timing and mechanism of injury, duration of exposure to the burn agent and first-aid measures provided. Non-accidental injuries must be considered when the patient history does not match with the observed injury or if there are inconsistencies with the history provided. Young children, the elderly and people with cognitive impairment are at risk for non-intentional burn injuries. If non-accidental injury is suspected, health-care providers are mandated to report to the appropriate authority, e.g., children's aid societies, provincial/territorial ministries of health, retirement home regulatory authorities, police. Note that comorbid conditions may have contributed to the burn injury—for example a person having a stroke while boiling hot water.



The mnemonic **AMPLE** can be used as a reminder of pertinent patient history information that must be collected during the secondary survey:

- **A**llergies
- **M**edications
- **P**revious illness, **p**ast medical history
- **L**ast meal or drink
- **E**vents preceding injury

Pain assessment: Burn-injury–related pain involves both peripheral and central processes and may present with the combined features of acute nociceptive and inflammatory pain (presenting as sharp, aching or throbbing sensations) and neuropathic pain (initially presenting as shooting, lancinating, burning, electric shock, squeezing, throbbing, and knife-like sensations, and allodynia, and later presenting as numbness, tingling and prickling sensations).³⁰ The background burn pain experience, which may fluctuate, is not only dependent on the mechanism of burn injury, burn depth and burn size, but may be influenced by the presence of inflammation and/or infection. Patients with burns may also suffer from incident, procedural or operative wound pain. Incident pain may occur during the normal day-to-day activities of the patient and may result from otherwise benign activities like standing or sitting, turning, walking, coughing or laughing. Procedural pain is pain experienced during procedures such as dressing changes, wound debridement and other wound treatments. Like procedural pain, operative pain is the result of procedures complex enough to require anesthesia, such as major burn injury dressing changes. The burn injury-related pain



experience is also influenced by biologic, psychosocial, spiritual and environmental factors, which must be assessed for, and that may include:

- Biological factors: genetics, sex and endogenous pain control
- Psychosocial factors: anxiety, stress, depression, coping skills, behaviour and cognitive status and spiritual resources
- Environmental factors: lifestyle, employment type, socialization, cultural background and life traumas

Validated pain assessment tools, such as those noted in Recommendation 1.1, provide a standardized approach for identifying, assessing and documenting the factors that are causing or exacerbating burn-injury–related pain so that appropriate interventions can be implemented to ensure patients experience effective pain management.

Quality-of-life (QoL) assessment: Burn injuries may have profound physical/aesthetic, psychological, social and vocational/employment consequences. To optimize the rehabilitation of a burn survivor, it is important to assess for the predictors of health-related quality of life (HRQL). A recent systematic review (2018) found the following poor HRQL predictors related to burn injuries: burn severity (greater length of hospital stays, burn size, depth of injury and number of surgeries), post-burn depression, post-traumatic stress symptoms, avoidance coping, less emotional or social support, higher levels of neuroticism, and unemployment post-burn injury. Additionally, weaker predictors of poor HRQL related to burn injuries include pain, a post-burn substance use disorder, and being female.³¹

Validated burn-injury–related anxiety scales, QoL assessment tools and coping questionnaires, such as those noted in Recommendation 1.1, provide a standardized approach for identifying potential barriers and patient lifestyle factors that may interfere with positive participation in the plan of care and identify patients in need of extra rehabilitation care.

1.2.2 Environmental: Socio-economic, care setting, potential for self-management

Discussion:

Closely linked to the quality-of-life assessment, an environmental assessment that incorporates an assessment of the social determinants of health is essential in determining if the patient has the socio-economic supports needed to meet any goals of care that may be considered. Determinants that should be assessed include income, employment and working conditions, food security, environment and housing, early childhood development, education and literacy, social support and connectedness, health behaviours and access to health care. For example, a study of the global burden of child burn injuries showed that most preventable burn injuries occur in low-income countries (in part due to open-fire cooking), and that low income was associated with higher mortality and morbidity rates, noting barriers such as limited knowledge of first aid for burns, availability of transportation to specialized burn care centres, and limited supplies, beds and staff at specialized burn care centres. Furthermore, the study suggested that improving the wealth of poor households may lead to better living conditions, nutrition and access to medical services, thus reducing burn injury risk and outcomes.³²

1.2.3 Systems: Health-care support and communication

Discussion:

A systems assessment takes into consideration the patient's access to emergency services, funding, availability of support services and wound-related products, diagnostic services, service delivery personnel and coordination of care, all of which vary widely from province/territory to province/territory and from one interprovincial/interterritorial region to another. Health-care support and communication can even vary from one service delivery site to another. When setting patient goals and developing a plan of care, clinicians must take into consideration the patient's access to health-care supports, as an organized, interprofessional and collaborative approach to care is critical to improving burn-injury-related outcomes.

1.3 Complete a wound assessment.

Discussion:

Burn injury assessment: When assessing a burn injury, it is important to determine the underlying cause/mechanism of the injury (as this influences the pathophysiology of the injury and its management), burn depth and size, and severity of the burn injury. Assessment of the burn can help the team to determine the ability of the person to heal their burn injury, plan treatment, facilitate communication, monitor treatment, and predict and verify outcomes.

Mechanism of Injury

Heat-related burn injuries vary in depth and size and are caused by scalds from liquids spilled or liquid immersion, grease or steam; contact burns; and, fire, flash or flame. The severity of the injury is related to the rate at which the heat is transferred from the heating agent to the skin, which depends on the heat capacity and temperature of the agent, duration of contact with the agent, transfer coefficient, and heat and conductivity of the local tissue.

- *Scalds* tend to be superficial partial-thickness tissue damage and may involve a large area of skin. Scalds with viscous liquids like oil, grease, liquid glue or liquid wax that are splashed on a person's skin tend to cause more severe burn injuries than scalds from liquids with greater fluidity, like hot water. Fluids that are more viscous tend to roll off a person's skin at a slower rate or cling to a person's skin, increasing the duration of exposure.
- *Immersion scalds* can result in more severe burn injuries because of the increased duration of contact between the heat agent and the skin. Such burns can cover a large skin area.
- *Contact burns* tend to cause deep partial-thickness or full-thickness tissue damage and involve less skin area than other types of burns.
- *Flame injuries* are of various depths (superficial partial-thickness to full-thickness) and cover varied amounts of skin.

The extent of electrical burns is related to the voltage of the current. With low-voltage electrical burns, small, deep burns are seen at the entry and exit points. Entry and exit points help to determine the probable path of the electrical current and thus the potential areas of injury. High-voltage burns (greater than 1,000 volts) may cause extensive deep tissue damage, limb loss and death. Electrical current takes the path of least resistance through the body. This path allows for the electrical energy to be

transformed to heat, damaging the tissue it contacts. Least resistance is offered by nerves and blood vessels, whereas bone and fat offer the most resistance. If major body organs, such as the heart, brain or kidneys are involved, the damage can be profound. As much damage is below the skin at the level of muscle, fat and bone, the severity of the injury can be difficult to determine (the “iceberg” effect). High voltage flash burns occur when electrical current does not actually enter the body; rather, the person is exposed to an arc of high-voltage current. The resulting burns may manifest as superficial partial-thickness damage to body parts exposed to the arc. If clothing ignites, deeper burns may result.

Radiation burns are injuries to the skin or tissue caused by exposure to ultraviolet rays (sunburn), radiation therapy for cancer treatment and, in rarer instances, nuclear emissions or explosions. The effect of radiation reactions is dependent on the type of radiation, its energy, penetration and ionization power, total dose, fractionation and overall exposure/treatment time.³³ Radiation-induced skin injury ranges from erythema to dry or moist desquamation, skin necrosis, ulceration and death.³³

The extent and depth of a chemical burn is directly proportional to the amount, type and strength of the agent, its concentration, extent of penetration, mechanism of action and length of contact time with the skin/tissue. Chemicals will continue to destroy tissue until inactivated by reaction with tissues, neutralized, brushed off (powders) or diluted with water. The burning process may continue for variable and often prolonged periods of time, e.g., up to 72 hours after the initial contact with the chemical agent. Acids, in general, cause coagulation necrosis with protein precipitation. Alkalis produce liquefaction necrosis, which allows the alkali to diffuse more deeply into the tissues. Therefore, on a volume-to-volume basis, alkaline material can produce far more tissue damage than acids.



Regardless of the mechanism of injury, the pathophysiology of a full-thickness burn wound involves a local and systemic response. Locally, a burn may be divided into three zones:

1. Zone of Coagulation

- Centre of the wound – the area that had the most contact with the burn source
- Irreversible full-thickness tissue damage with no tissue perfusion
- Tissue appears white or charred and will not recover.

2. Zone of Stasis

- Surrounds the zone of coagulation
- Deep partial-thickness injury with decreased tissue perfusion
- Tissue appears red initially and later turns white and may blanch with pressure. Petechial hemorrhages may be present.
- With good management, tissue will likely recover.

3. Zone of Hyperemia

- At the periphery of the wound
- Superficial partial-thickness injury with good tissue perfusion
- Tissue appears red, blanches with pressure and will likely recover.

In complex burns, there is also a systemic response secondary to the systemic release of cytokines and other inflammatory mediators, catecholamines, vasopressin and angiotensin. This can result in hypovolemia, systemic hypotension, vasoconstriction and compromised organ perfusion, bronchoconstriction, hypothermia, hemolysis (requiring blood transfusions), an increased basal metabolic rate (which can impede wound healing, increase infection risk and impede physical rehabilitation) and a reduced immune response.³⁴

Burn Depth

Burn depth is subjectively determined based on the characteristics of the burn wound (see Table 4) and is key to informing the plan of care.

Table 4: Characteristics of Burn Types According to Depth

Classification	Depth of Injury	Appearance	Sensation	Most Common Cause of Injury
Superficial (first degree)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Epidermis 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Intact skin Blanchable erythema and mild edema Brisk capillary refill No blisters 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Tactile and pain sensations intact 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Scalds from spilled liquids (low viscosity) Electrical flash Sunburn
Superficial partial-thickness (second degree)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Epidermis with partial-thickness loss of dermis Dermal appendages intact 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Blanchable erythema Brisk capillary refill Intact or ruptured thin-walled serum-filled blisters (blisters may increase in size) If blisters ruptured, tissue is pink or red and moist. 		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Scalds from spilled liquids (low viscosity) or steam Electrical flash Brief exposure to flame Brief contact with hot object Sunburn
Deep partial-thickness (deep second degree)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Epidermis with deep partial-thickness loss of dermis Underlying structures are not exposed. Some dermal appendages intact 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Non-blanchable erythema Sluggish capillary refill Intact or ruptured thick-walled serum-filled blisters (blisters may increase in size) If blisters ruptured, tissue is blotchy/mottled, cherry red/blanched white and dry. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Deep pressure sensation intact Pinprick sensation absent Variable pain sensation 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Scalds from spilled liquids (low and high viscosity) or steam Exposure to flame Contact with hot object
Full-thickness (third degree)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Full-thickness skin loss Underlying structures are not exposed Dermal appendages destroyed 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Non-blanchable Capillary refill absent If present, blisters will be thin-walled and will not increase in size. Tissue leathery, pale, mottled and cherry red/brown in colour and dry Eschar may be present. Thrombosed vessels visible Edema 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Insensitive to pain and pressure 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Scalds from liquid immersion Exposure to flame Prolonged contact with hot object Chemicals Electricity
Full-thickness (fourth degree)	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Full-thickness skin and tissue loss Exposed or directly palpable underlying structures Dermal appendages destroyed 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Non-blanchable Tissue leathery, pale, mottled, red/brown/white in colour and dry Eschar may be present. Thrombosed vessels visible 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Insensitive to pain and pressure 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Prolonged liquid immersion scald Prolonged contact with hot flame, hot objects, or chemicals Electricity

Adapted from the Ross Tilley Burn Centre website.

Assessment of burn depth can be precarious even for experts in the field. Given that burn experts are only 64–76% accurate in determining burn depth,³⁵ there has been a push to develop more objective methods to determine burn depth. Therefore, tech-


nologies have been and continue to be developed and utilized in this field.³⁶ These include biopsy and histology, thermography, photometry, pulse-echo and doppler ultrasound, laser doppler imaging, nuclear imaging, liquid crystal film, and use of radioactive isotopes, non-fluorescent and fluorescent dyes. These methods, however, are either invasive, and/or require expensive equipment and/or access to expert technicians and are therefore limited to use on specialist burn units and in research.

Burn Size


In addition to accurate estimation of the burn depth, determining burn size (surface area) is essential to inform the plan of care. The total burn area is expressed as a percentage of the total body surface area (TBSA). The most common methods used to estimate the TBSA involved in a burn injury include palmar surface, the Lund and Browder chart, and the Wallace Rule of Nines (see Figure 4).

Burns are dynamic: Burn depth may increase over time. Therefore, reassessment after 24–72 hours is important in establishing accurate burn depth.

Figure 4: Common Methods to Estimate Burn Surface Area




Lund and Browder Chart



Area	Age					
	0	1	5	10	15	Adult
A = 1/2 of head	9.5	8.5	6.5	5.5	4.5	3.5
B = 1/2 of one thigh	2.75	3.25	4	4.5	4.5	4.75
C = 1/2 of one lower leg	2.5	2.5	2.75	3	3.25	3.5

Pediatric Assessment Ruler



Body surface area percentiles for each age group. Select the patient's age to determine the body surface area ratio for each body area to calculate the burn size.

Adapted from Victoria Burns Service www.vicburns.org.au.

Palmar Surface: This is the simplest and quickest method that requires the least amount of training.³⁷ This method involves estimating the TBSA involved in a burn injury using the palm and fingers of the patient's hand as ruler. The patient's hand area (inclusive of the palm and fingers) represents approximately 1% of their TBSA. The palmar surface method is more accurate in estimating the size of small and large burns than it is for medium-sized burns.

Lund and Browder: The Lund and Browder chart, considered the gold standard due to its high validity and ease of comparison during subsequent treatment, is used across age groups, as it takes into consideration the variations in TBSA as a person grows. Modified versions are available for children under age 15 to correct for the smaller surface area of the lower extremities. Weaknesses of the Lund and Browder chart include difficulties in assessing lateral burns, the lack of anatomical landmarks for reference and the inability to accurately represent obese or female patients, which can make calculation of the TBSA in a burn injury more difficult and time consuming.^{38–39}

Wallace Rule of Nines: The rule of nines is another means of estimating TBSA involved in a burn injury in adults. With this tool the TBSA is divided into major anatomic regions divisible by 9 (portrayed on a standardized chart). The TBSA is calculated by estimation using the chart. Pediatric versions of this tool for children under age 10 have been created to account for the variations in TBSA in childhood.^{37, 39} This tool has been shown to be a quick, simple, familiar and reasonably accurate method of assessing TBSA in burn injury.

Note: Superficial (first degree) burns are not included in the calculation of burn size (surface area).

Like burn depth, assessment of burn size can be precarious for even the most skilled clinician. Given that burn size is only ever estimated correctly one third of the time,⁴⁰ there has been increased interest in developing technologies to improve accuracy. These include mobile software applications that use 1D, 2D or 3D modelling or imaging, and 3D scanners.



Severity of Burn Injury

Once burn depth and area have been estimated (and taking into consideration the cause of the burn, presence or absence of inhalation injury, and comorbidities of the patient), the severity of the burn injury can be determined. Burn severity (minor, moderate or major) helps determine the most appropriate setting for its management (see Table 5).

Table 5: Determination of Burn Severity

Burn Severity	Depth and Area of Injury
Minor (non-complex)	<ul style="list-style-type: none">▪ Partial-thickness involving < 15% of TBSA (adults < 40 years)▪ Partial-thickness involving < 10% of TBSA (children and adults > 40 years)▪ Full-thickness involving < 2% of TBSA (not on face, eyes, ears, hands, feet or perineum)
Moderate	<ul style="list-style-type: none">▪ Partial-thickness involving 15–25% of TBSA (adults)▪ Partial-thickness involving 10–20% of TBSA (children and older adults)▪ Full-thickness burns involving 2–10% of TBSA (not on face, eyes, ears, hands, feet or perineum)▪ Excluding high-voltage electrical burns and burns with inhalation injury or major trauma
Major (complex)	<ul style="list-style-type: none">▪ Partial-thickness involving more than 25% TBSA (adults)▪ Partial-thickness involving more than 20% TBSA (children < 10 years or adults > 50 years)▪ Full-thickness burns involving more than 10% of TBSA▪ Burns involving the face, eyes, ears, hands, feet or perineum▪ Burns caused by caustic chemicals, high-voltage electricity▪ Burns with inhalation injury or major trauma



As with all wounds, burn injuries must be assessed for:

- Tissue types and amounts, e.g., epithelium, granulation, slough or eschar
- Bacterial balance, e.g., localized, spreading or systemic infection
- Exudate type and amount, e.g., serous, serous-sanguineous, sanguineous, or purulent; and none, scant, small, moderate or large
- The presence or absence of odour
- The type of wound edge, e.g., attached, unattached, rolled
- Periwound tissue appearance, e.g., intact, reddened, indurated, macerated, excoriated



These considerations are elaborated on in the Wounds Canada document “[Best Practice Recommendations for the Prevention and Management of Wounds](#),” which also provides information on wound assessment tools.

American Burn Association’s (ABA) Proposed Indicators for Sepsis in Burns:⁴¹

As major burns typically present with signs of systemic inflammation, diagnosing infection can be a challenge. The ABA suggests that if a patient with a burn injury presents with three or more of the following findings, sepsis should be suspected, and the underlying cause investigated and treated:

- Temperature > 39 °C or < 36.5 °C
- Progressive tachypnea (> 25 breaths/min if not ventilated or > 12 L/min if ventilated)
- Progressive tachycardia (> 110 beats/min)
- Thrombocytopenia (< 100 000/uL; applied only after day 3 post-resuscitation)
- Hyperglycemia (untreated glucose > 200 mg/dL, >7 units/hour insulin infusion, or > 25% increase in insulin dosing over 24 hours)
- Enteral feeding intolerance (abdominal distention, residuals over twice the feeding rate, or diarrhea > 2500 mL/24 hours)

Step 2: Set Goals



Step 2: Set Goals

Recommendations

2.1 Set goals for prevention, healing, non-healing and non-healable wounds.

Discussion:

Burn injury prevention should be considered a primary safety goal for all people. The CHIRPP database revealed the populations at greatest risk for burn injuries by etiology, age and sex; the most common causes of burn injuries by etiology; and the most common places where burn injuries occurred in Canada (2013) (see Recommendation 1.2).⁴ Research has also shown that the social determinants of health impact one's risk of burn injury (see Recommendation 1.2.2). Despite knowing such information, ultimately all people are at risk for experiencing a burn injury in their lifetime, whether it be at home, work or play. However, knowing one's personalized risk factors can help to tailor prevention strategies. Community-based, provincial and national burn prevention programs and organizations (e.g., Canadian Fire Safety Association, Public Services Health & Safety Association, Safety Villages, Fire Service Society, National Fire Protection Association, Canadian Burn Survivors, Fire Prevention Canada, Parachute, Workplace Safety and Prevention Services, WorkSafe) aim to identify risk factors, educate and heighten awareness of individual risk, and encourage people to practise strategies to decrease their level of burn injury risk.



2.1.1 Identify goals based on prevention or healability of wounds.

Discussion:

Where a burn injury has already occurred, goals must be set to reflect the overall healing ability of the wound (healing, non-healing or non-healable). This decision is made based on identified risk factors and a comprehensive patient, wound, environment and systems assessment. Goals must be developed (according to the SMART principle⁴²) in collaboration with the patient, family and/or caregiver, and adjusted accordingly, as goals can change over time. Starting points for common goals related to the overall healing ability of burn injuries include:

- Wound closure, stabilization or prevention of deterioration
- Reduction in the amount of necrotic tissue
- Reduced bacterial burden or prevention of increased bacterial burden
- Establishment or maintenance of an appropriate amount of wound moisture
- Decreased number of dressing changes
- Prevention of scarring or improved scar quality
- Limb preservation
- Improved nutrition and hydration





Remember, goals must be developed and written using the SMART principle, e.g., wound closure as evidenced by complete wound re-epithelialization and absence of drainage within X number of weeks.

2.1.2 Identify quality-of-life and symptom-control goals.

Discussion:

A comprehensive patient, wound, environment and systems assessment will also allow for the development of goals related to the impact of the burn injury on the patient's daily life. Such goals may include:

- Pain reduction/management
- Reduction/management of wound-related itch
- Maintaining or improving joint range of motion and function of the burn-injured area
- Contracture reduction
- Restoration of independence
- Return to work, home, school or leisure activities
- Reduced anxiety and/or psychological stress
- Improved coping mechanisms and supported spirituality

Step 3: Assemble the Team



Step 3: Assemble the Team

Discussion: Prevention, assessment and management of burn injuries require an integrated team to optimize the patient's overall health and well-being. The team must work closely and collaboratively to address the complex physical, emotional and social impacts of surviving a burn injury. All team members must work together to create and implement a sustainable plan of care based on the identified goals.

Recommendations

3.1 Identify appropriate health-care professionals and service providers.

Discussion:

Health-care professionals working with patients with burn injuries need to be trained to do so. Caring for patients with burn injuries requires that clinicians have the knowledge, skills and judgement to assess the severity of the burn injury; achieve hemodynamic stability and end-organ perfusion; identify and treat inhalation injury; maintain thermoregulation; identify and manage compartment syndrome; recognize non-accidental injuries; provide physiologic support for all body systems; deploy therapeutic burn wound treatments; provide support and resources for the unique emotional, spiritual, cultural and social needs of burn patients, their family and caregivers; develop individualized rehabilitation care plans to maximize return to function, and more, depending on their professional role.

Ideally the professional members of the team, except first responders, will be in one location, which is often the case with specialized burn centres in large urban areas. Outside of such centres, however, this may not be the case and will necessitate the establishment of a clear, comprehensive communication plan. Effective communication among team members is essential for supporting the short- and long-term management of the patients' needs. Table 6 lists some of the potential professional burn injury team members and their roles. The selection of team members is based on the comprehensive assessment of the burn patient, their wound, environment and systems, and the established goals. Not every burn patient requires involvement of all team

Table 6: Team Members and their Roles in the Management of a Burn Patient

Team Member	Roles
Anesthesiologist	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Optimize mechanical ventilation, fluid management and circulatory supportAssist with pain management
Burn nurse	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Coordinate inpatient care and patient dischargeProvide daily care, positioning, edema care and complete/assist with dressing changesManage fluid resuscitationAdminister medication and enteral nutrition, provide health teaching and monitoringProvide palliative careDeliver patient/family education
Burn surgeon	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Prescribe medications, order tests, provide referralsOversee medical managementPerform surgical intervention, grafts and flaps, amputationsProvide burn debridement and blister management

cont'd.

Team Member	Roles
Critical care specialists	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provide care based on their specialty (e.g., nephrology, rehabilitation, orthopedics) based on the needs of the patient and the resources of the care centre
Emergency room clinician	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Initiate fluid resuscitation and treat burn shock Assess and treat smoke inhalation, hypothermia and any other secondary injuries Determine the burn severity and decide if the patient needs to be transferred to a specialized burn centre Manage pain
First responder	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Stop the burning process, and cool and cover the burn Establish an airway and provide cervical spine control Attempt resuscitation Control hemorrhage and assess for and treat burn shock Transport to hospital for assessment
Occupational therapist	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provide scar management Prescribe adaptive devices and manufacture splints to prevent contractures Perform an activities of daily living assessment Support vocational/employment goals and activities Provide cognitive and psychosocial assessments, support and counselling with family members
Pharmacist	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Assist with management of pain, infection Provide medication reconciliation/information/education/teaching, monitoring for medication interactions
Physical therapist	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Assess functional status and provide training Prescribe and facilitate exercise and movement Evaluate strength and administer treatment Prescribe gait aides Apply biophysical agents Communicate with family members and provide education
Registered dietitian	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Monitor dietary needs and provide nutritional recommendations Provide nutritional education
Respiratory therapist	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Assess pulmonary mechanics Enhance patient ventilation by assisting with airway management and diagnostic bronchoscopy, assess arterial blood gas, optimize mechanical ventilator settings and chest physiotherapy Provide education
Social worker and/or psychiatrist	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Address anxiety and/or psychological stress Improve coping mechanisms Support communication with team and family members Assist with social and financial supports Link to peer supports Provide palliative support
Speech and language pathologist	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Assess and recommend strategies for improving swallowing and communication Evaluate and treat microstomia Assist with head/neck burn scar management
Spiritual care professional	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Support and counsel those with slow-to-heal, non-healing and non-healable burn injuries Support links to patients' faith or religious community Provide palliative support

members listed. This is especially true of patients with minor burns that are treated on an outpatient basis.

Other service providers on the team may include shoe fitters, meal delivery services, homemakers, garment fitters and transportation providers, among others.

3.2 Enlist the patient and their family and caregivers as part of the team.

Discussion:

The success of a plan of care for the prevention and management of burn injuries relies on the collaboration of the person with the burn injury (or at risk), their support system(s) and communication among the team involved in the development of the plan of care. For pediatric patients, parents or legal guardians need to be part of the care-planning team, and for those with cognitive impairment, the person who has been assigned their Power of Attorney for Personal Care must be involved. To be effective team members, the person with the burn injury and their support system must have the motivation, capacity, ability and commitment to act, as well as the personal ability to interact effectively with each other.⁴³

Ideally, the patient with the burn injury and their caregivers will be willing and able to work with the rest of the team to set goals and participate in the development, implementation and evaluation of the plan of care. Every attempt should be made to have meaningful and culturally and age-appropriate communication with the patient and family regarding interventions that will result in the best possible long-term outcomes. Communication must consider the patient's literacy level, language ability, cultural background, and learning style and abilities.

To help ensure active participation, patients with burn injuries and their families should be offered timely, consistent information that is tailored to enhance self-care/management practices and behaviours.


3.3 Ensure organizational and system support.

Discussion:

Successful burn injury programs are designed and evaluated in collaboration with clinical practice leaders, educators, policy makers and administrators at a local, regional, provincial/territorial and national level. Organization and system support is required to ensure that patients receive a coordinated transition of care through community and health-care agencies.

Organizations must support the education of staff members so they may obtain and maintain the required knowledge and skills to effectively care for the multiple complex issues related to burn injuries. An educational needs assessment should be undertaken at routine intervals to identify knowledge gaps. Education should address the identified gaps and be provided using the principles of adult learning.

Step 4: Establish and Implement a Plan of Care



Step 4: Establish and Implement a Plan of Care

Discussion: The development and implementation of a sustainable plan of care must be based on the identified goals and be collaboratively created with the patient, their family and caregivers, and relevant health-care team members.

Recommendations

4.1 Identify and implement an evidence-informed plan to correct the causes or co-factors that affect skin integrity, including patient needs (physical, emotional and social), the wound (if applicable) and environmental/system challenges.

Discussion:

The management of patients with burn injuries may require only pre-hospital care; however, it may include transportation to the closest emergency department for assessment based on the Advanced Trauma Life Support guidelines, as well as the following:

- Discharge to outpatient services for treatment for minor (non-complex) burns
- Admission to hospital for care of moderate burns
- Transfer to a specialized burn centre for treatment of major (complex) burns

American Burn Association Criteria for Transfer to a Burn Unit:⁴⁴

- Partial-thickness burns > 10% TBSA
- Burns that involve the face, hands, feet, genitalia, perineum or major joints
- Full-thickness burns in any age group
- Electrical burns, including lightning injury
- Chemical burns
- Inhalation injury
- Burns in patients with pre-existing medical disorders that could complicate management, prolong recovery or affect mortality
- Any patient with burns and concomitant trauma (such as fractures) in which the burn injury poses the greatest risk of morbidity or mortality. In such cases, if the trauma poses the greater immediate risk, the patient may be initially stabilized in a trauma centre before being transferred to a burn unit. Physician judgement will be necessary in such situations and should be in concert with the regional medical control plan and triage protocols
- Burned children in hospitals without qualified personnel or equipment for the care of children
- Burn injury in patients who will require special social, emotional or rehabilitative intervention

Management – Primary Survey: After ensuring personal safety, it is critical to remove the source of the burn injury. This means removing the heat source for patients who have experienced a thermal injury, turning off the electricity supply for those with electrical burns, brushing off dry chemicals or diluting liquid chemicals with water for

those who have experienced chemical burns, or removing the patient from the source of radiation for those with radiation burns. In conjunction with the treatment of life-threatening injuries, most burn wounds need to be cooled. Thermal burns should be cooled with clean, tepid running water or wet towels/compresses to stop the burning process, limit tissue damage, minimize swelling, cleanse the wound and aid in pain control. Very cold water and ice should not be used to cool burn injuries, as these can cause local vasoconstriction, which can increase tissue damage and may contribute to hypothermia. Chemical burns require copious irrigation with tepid water or an appropriate antidote to remove the corrosive agent and stop the burning process. This irrigation process may be lengthy—e.g., 15–120 minutes—and should continue until the patient stops complaining of discomfort and the tissue pH normalizes. Electrical burns should not be irrigated.⁴⁵

All people with burn injuries who require a health professional's care should then be treated using the **ABCDEF** mnemonic, listed in the order of priority, to treat any life-threatening injuries:

- **Airway:** If the patient has an airway obstruction or an injury that is physically restricting breathing, attain and maintain a patent airway. Depending on the type and severity of injury, this may require administration of beta agonists to prevent or treat bronchospasm, intubation, tracheostomy and/or an escharotomy for deep dermal or full-thickness circumferential chest burns. This step also includes the provision of cervical spine control prior to transport to hospital (especially in instances of high-voltage electrical burns or falls), unless spinal injury is not suspected.

A Word on Escharotomies:⁴⁶⁻⁴⁸ All deep circumferential burns to the extremities have the potential to cause neurovascular compromise and therefore benefit from escharotomies. The typical clinical signs of impaired perfusion in the burned extremity/hand include cool temperature, decreased or absent capillary refill, tense compartments, with the hand held in the claw position. The absence of pulses is a late sign. On occasion, non-circumferential deep burns or circumferential partial-thickness burns might require a prophylactic escharotomy as the patient might need large resuscitation volumes due to overall injury or the inability to perform serial reassessments. Escharotomies of the extremities are performed along the medial and lateral lines, with the extremity held in the anatomic position. For the hand, the escharotomy is performed along the 2nd and 4th metacarpals and for the fingers care is taken to prevent any neurovascular damage. Therefore, escharotomies are typically not performed along the ulnar aspect of the thumb or the radial aspect of the index finger.

- **Breathing:** If the patient is not breathing, immediately initiate cardiopulmonary resuscitation (CPR). In addition, treat any conditions impeding ventilation, such as hemothorax, pneumothorax, flail chest, smoke inhalation, carbon monoxide poisoning and cyanide toxicity. Depending on the type and severity of injury, interventions may include needle aspiration or chest tube insertion to treat hemothorax or pneumothorax, mechanical ventilation for flail chest, mechanical ventilation and aggressive pulmonary toilet to manage inhalation injury, administration of exogenous thiosulfate and hydroxocobalamin to treat cyanide poisoning, and positioning the patient in a semi-Fowler's or Fowler's position to decrease facial/airway edema.



Note: All patients with burn injuries should be administered 100% oxygen through a humidified non-rebreathing mask on presentation.

- **Circulation:** If the patient is bleeding or has hypovolemia or burn shock, treat accordingly. Depending on the type and severity of injury, interventions may include immobilization, the application of pressure dressings or tourniquets and surgical interventions to stop bleeding, intravenous access with two large bore cannulas (preferably placed through unburned tissue) and fluid resuscitation for patients who have a burn injury of > 10–15% TBSA and the insertion of a Foley catheter (to monitor urine output) to treat hypovolemia and burn shock, use of vasopressors/inotropes and thermoregulation to treat shock, hydrocortisone to treat adrenal insufficiency (secondary to refractory shock), and methylene blue to treat vasoplegia. Expected urine output is 1 ml/kg/hour.
- **Disability:** If the patient presents with an altered level of consciousness, it is imperative to treat the underlying cause, e.g., hypoxia secondary to carboxyhemoglobin level or hypovolemia. In addition, a fasciotomy may be required to treat compartment syndrome affecting a limb. Abdominal compartment syndrome may require mechanical ventilation, vasopressors, dialysis and surgery.
- **Exposure:** Removing clothing and jewellery from the area of a burn injury or close to/distal to a burn injury allows for accurate determination of the area of burn injury and prevention of the “tourniquet-like” effect of constricting items left in place when the resulting tissue edema increases. Clothing that has stuck to the burn injury must carefully be removed by soaking the material off. Patients should be covered



and warmed as soon as possible to prevent hypothermia, as this can lead to hypoperfusion and deepening of the burn wounds.

- **Fluid resuscitation:** For patients with major (complex) burns, e.g., TBSA > 10–15%, fluid resuscitation is key to combatting the profound loss of intravascular fluid into the interstitial space, which can lead to organ dysfunction and death, and should be initiated as soon as possible after injury (ideally pre-hospitalization). Several fluid formulas and protocols have been proposed for resuscitation, each requiring crystalloid infusion with or without the addition of colloids. One common protocol is the use of Hartmann's solution and the Parkland formula (see box below) to determine the volume of solution required. Fluid resuscitation should be guided by physiological parameters and laboratory findings to prevent under- or over-resuscitation. The goal of resuscitation is restoration of intravascular volume and maintenance of organ perfusion and function, while preventing burn wound conversion.

Fluid Resuscitation Using Hartmann's Solution and the Parkland Formula

Formula: 4 mL Hartmann's Solution (or Lactated Ringer's) per kilogram body weight per % total body surface area burn = the total fluid requirements for the first 24 hours post-burn

Administration:

- 1/2 total in first 8 hours
- 1/4 total in second 8 hours
- 1/4 total in third 8 hours

NOTE: The formula is only a guideline and must be titrated to maintain a urinary output of 30–50 mL/hr, stable vital signs and adequate sensorium.

Adapted from the Ross Tilley Burn Centre website.



Traditionally, the endpoints of resuscitation of a thermally injured patient have been determined via physiological parameters, but the use of global end organ functions such as urinary output, heart rate and blood pressure is inadequate in determining the adequacy of resuscitation.⁴⁹ While the addition of measurements of base deficit and lactate have become commonplace as markers of adequate resuscitation, it is difficult to ascertain their importance as markers of burn resuscitation, as there are multiple episodes of ischemia and reperfusion injury with fluctuation in serum lactate and base deficit level.⁵⁰ In some studies, it appears that elevated lactate and base deficit levels on admission do correlate with overall organ dysfunction and mortality. However, there is no absolute number or threshold that determines non-survivability.^{51–53} Moreover, further studies have concluded that elevated lactate levels are an independent risk factor for mortality.⁵⁴ Since there is no ideal method at this juncture for determining the end points of resuscitation, some researchers have begun to adopt new techniques. Light et al. demonstrated the use of tissue pCO₂ monitoring to better correlate with tissue perfusion; however, its use is not yet commonplace.⁵⁰ Clinical assessment is outdated, the use of resuscitation markers is flawed, but there is some correlation with overall risk of organ dysfunction and mortality. Newer techniques are under examination but have not gained broad acceptance for use. Until a widely accepted method has been validated, care must be taken and as many tools as possible used to determine adequate resuscitation.

Management– Secondary Survey: Once care has been provided to address life-threatening situations/conditions revealed during the primary survey, treatment of secondary issues begins. This includes correcting any modifiable comorbidities noted during the detailed patient history that may negatively impact wound healing and general burn injury recovery. In addition, depending on the severity of the burn injury, interventions may need to be put into place to address specific related issues such as upper gastrointestinal erosions and ulcers/Curling ulcer (e.g., preventative antacids



and H2-receptor antagonists), myoglobinuria and acute renal failure (e.g., adequate fluid resuscitation, treatment of any infections, avoidance of nephrotoxic drugs when possible, and in severe situations peritoneal or hemodialysis), sepsis (e.g., appropriate systemic treatment of burn wound infections and other infections that may be present or occur, like pneumonia; antibiotic prophylaxis is not advised), venous thromboembolism (e.g., prophylactic treatment), microangiopathic hemolytic anemia, bone fractures, spinal cord injury, peripheral nerve injury, neurophysical complications, amputation and/or visceral injuries.²⁸

Pain management: The intensity and impact of pain on a person's quality of life must be taken into consideration when creating a personalized plan of care. Table 7 summarizes some common pain management strategies used in Denmark, Sweden, New Zealand and/or the United States, based on a review of four clinical guidelines.⁵⁶

Table 7: Management of Burn Pain⁵⁵

Cause of Pain	Management Strategies
Background pain	▪ Acetaminophen, non-steroid anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), morphine, methadone
Procedural pain	▪ Acetaminophen, morphine, transmucosal fentanyl, anxiolytics and general anesthesia for initial wound care
Post-operative pain	▪ Acetaminophen, non-steroid anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), morphine, nitrous oxide, ketamine, transmucosal fentanyl, peripheral blocks, patient-controlled analgesia

Non-pharmacological interventions suggested by the same set of four guidelines included the development of coping skills via referrals to psychology, therapeutic touch, cognitive enhancement, hypnotherapy, visualization and virtual reality. Massage and distraction may also be of benefit.^{57–58}

Regarding the management of neuropathic pain post burn injury, a systematic review suggested that pregabalin has been demonstrated to be effective, whereas gabapentin has not. The review further stated that the use of tricyclic antidepressants and anticonvulsants, which are common in treating neuropathies of other origins, have not been systematically investigated in the burn population.⁵⁹ Additionally, pregabalin (and gabapentin) are effective in relieving pruritus associated with burn injuries.⁶⁰

A structured approach to pain management, such as the World Health Organization's Pain Relief Ladder, should be considered (in addition to non-pharmacological methods of pain control) when developing an individualized pain management plan.⁶¹

Quality of life (QoL): Once psychosocial issues, such as anxiety, depression and post-traumatic stress disorder, are identified, appropriate interventions, referrals and education need to be provided. Treatment and intervention options may include: coping self-efficacy,⁶² cognitive behavioural therapy, medications to treat anxiety and depression, and peer support groups such as the Canadian Burn Survivors Community (www.canadianburnsurvivors.ca), Camp Bucko (www.campbucko.ca), Saskatchewan Burn Support Network, Camp Phoenix (www.experiencemomenta.com), and Entraide Grands Brûlés (www.entraidegb.org/home_en.htm).

Nutrition:^{63–64} Metabolic and nutritional management play a vital role in the management of burn injuries. Proportionate to the severity of the injury, patients with major

burn injuries often experience oxidative stress, intense inflammatory response and a long hypermetabolic and catabolic response. Such patients require the initiation of nutritional therapy, preferably by the enteral route, within 12 hours of the burn injury. Feeding solutions should be polymeric, high nitrogen and include the following:

- **Energy:** As patients with major burn have significantly higher energy needs, indirect calorimetry should be used to assess for energy requirements. If not available or suitable, the Toronto equation for burn adults or Schoffield formula for burn children should be employed. Resting energy expenditure should be measured on admission to hospital and weekly thereafter.
- **Protein:** Increased protein turnover is common post major burn injury and can contribute to cachexia. Adults with major burn injuries should be provided 1.5–2.0 g/kg of protein per day and children 1.3–3 g/kg/day. Glutamine supplementation should also be considered.
- **Carbohydrates:** 50–60% of energy delivered should be in the form of carbohydrates. This should not exceed 5 mg/kg/min in both adults and children.
- **Glucose:** Hyperglycemia and insulin resistance is not uncommon post major burn injury. Interventions should aim for moderate glycemic control (4.5–8 mmol/l) and include continuous intravenous infusion of insulin and limited carbohydrate delivery (maximum 60% of total energy intake).



- **Lipids:** Fats are required to prevent essential fatty acid deficiency. Total fat delivery should be monitored, and energy from fat should be < 35% of the total energy intake.
- **Micronutrients:** Supplementation of zinc, copper and selenium (which enhance the immune defence and wound healing) and vitamins B1 (normalizes lactate and pyruvate metabolism), C and E (reduces oxidative stress and enhances wound healing), and D (prevents post-discharge fracture and osteoporosis) are suggested.

Non-nutritional strategies: Non-nutritional strategies should be considered to address hypermetabolism and hypercatabolism, including maintenance of a warm room temperature (28–30°C), early excision and surgical coverage of wounds, and administration of medications that stimulate protein synthesis, e.g., non-selective beta-blockers and oxandrolone. In addition, administration of recombinant human growth hormone (rhGH) to children with burns with TBSA > 60% should also be considered to prevent growth deficit, reduce hypermetabolism and enhance wound healing.

Rehabilitation: Therapeutic exercise for the burn survivor should be goal oriented and directed at reducing the effects of immobilization, maintaining or improving function and strength and elongating scar tissue. Rehabilitative exercise, therefore, should include stretching, strengthening, cardiovascular training and functional activities.⁶⁵

Stretching can include passive activities such as splinting (single or multiple joints) along with gentle sustained single joint stretches. These activities should continue until full range of motion (ROM) is achieved. Progression to active-assisted and active exercises are desirable to maintain ROM and prevent contraction of scar tissue. The burn therapist can use their clinical judgement along with consultation from the burn surgeon as to when ROM and mobilization



activities can begin. These should be done as early as possible after the burn injury and incorporate normal movement patterns that are tailored to the individual.^{66–67}

Strengthening activities (including resistance training) are recommended after burn injury. Porter and colleagues suggest that the stress response in severely burned individuals includes hypermetabolism, muscle wasting and insulin resistance, which result in significant cachexia that can last for several years post burn.⁶⁸ They did show a benefit to children participating in a 12-week strengthening regimen instituted six months after a burn injury. Gittings et al. performed a systematic review and meta-analysis of resistance training (RT) post burn injury and concluded that low-quality evidence is suggestive of some positive effects on muscle strength and psychological well-being after burn injury.⁶⁹ They went on to recommend more well-designed and robust longitudinal studies in this area. Nedelec et al. concluded in their systematic review that:

- “Burn survivors’ strength and cardiovascular endurance should be evaluated in individuals 7 years of age and older. Those who test below normal levels should be prescribed a supervised resistance and/or aerobic exercise program.
- Exercise programs may begin as early as immediately post discharge from acute care and as late as 14 years after burn.
- Exercise programs should last six to 12 weeks for adults and up to 12 weeks for children.”^{70–71}

Cardiovascular conditioning post burn injury has also been recommended by Porter et al.⁶⁸ Severe burn injuries are often associated with inhalation injuries, especially if the patient was involved in a flame-related burn. Thus, damage to the pulmonary system is often a component of a major burn injury and can be long-lasting depending on the severity. Therefore, aerobic conditioning should be considered in the rehabilitation after burn injury. In a study of pediatric patients with severe burns, Suman and colleagues found that a 12-week regimen of both aerobic and resistance training improved peak oxygen uptake in this population.⁷²

With all these exercise activities, patients should have or be directed toward some functional activity or goal. The burn therapist should consult with the rest of the integrated team to help confirm activities and identify new goals with function in mind. The occupational therapist may also help to identify activities of daily living that require specific strength or cardiovascular training to improve. Serghiou et al. suggest that a pre-exercise evaluation be undertaken to identify any underlying medical conditions as well as any interests or goals the individual may have.⁶⁵ This will help the burn therapist to formulate a program that is challenging, fun, safe and effective.

Positioning and splinting of the burn patient becomes increasingly important as the TBSA increases. Larger TBSA burns will require specialized sleep surfaces along with positioning devices within the patient’s bed environment. Minor burn injuries may simply require a supportive splint for the affected area. Splinting and positioning should be designed to:⁶⁵

- Allow for edema reduction
- Maintain joint alignment
- Maintain tissue elongation

- Promote wound healing
- Relieve pressure points
- Protect operative sites (new skin flaps or grafts)
- Assist in functional activity
- Be pain free
- Be lightweight
- Be easy to don and doff

The document *Clinical Practice Recommendations for Positioning of the Burn Patient*, by Serghiou et al., provides more detailed information on this subject.⁷¹ Splinting and positioning are typically within the scope of practice of the occupational therapist (OT), but strong communication with the rest of the team members will help patients and staff adhere to the plan of care and achieve optimal results. The OT will be able to provide specific positions for each area of the body to help prevent contracture. The physiotherapist (PT) may be required to apply compression wraps to assist in ambulation after skin grafting to the lower extremity (after a vascular assessment to rule out arterial insufficiency). Serial casting, skeletal traction and even amputation may be required in severe burn injuries.⁶⁵ A prosthetist or orthotist may be consulted, if necessary, to provide a device that aids in function.



4.2 Optimize the local wound environment.

Discussion:

Local wound management strategies should be part of the plan of care and fit within the context of the overall healability of the burn injury. To optimize the local wound environment, clinicians must consider wound cleansing and debridement, management of bacterial burden and moisture control.

4.2.1 Cleansing

Discussion:

To aid in minimizing the bacterial burden of a burn injury, wound cleansing is required to flush away surface microbes and foreign bodies, soluble debris and non-viable tissue. The challenge is in selecting the appropriate type of solution and application method. Cleansing solutions commonly used in wound management include sterile normal saline, sterile water, potable tap water, commercial wound cleansers and liquid antiseptics.⁸ Such cleansing solutions may be appropriate in the management of burn injuries

depending on the goals of care. Expert opinion recommends that sterile solutions be used for acute burn injury management and in situations where underlying structures are exposed, to cleanse tunnels or sinuses, where the patient is immune-compromised or is suffering from a current wound infection (or has a history of recurrent wound infections), or in situations where potable tap water is inaccessible or the environment in which the wound is being cleansed is less than hygienic. The Wounds Canada [Skin and Wound Clean-up Product Picker](#) is a useful tool to help clinicians choose the most appropriate type of wound cleanser. Regardless of the type of cleanser chosen, solutions should be applied in copious amounts at body temperature. Regarding the application method, safe irrigation (4–15 psi) is preferred to help flush away surface microbes, foreign bodies, soluble debris and non-viable tissue.

Chemical Burns of the Eye: Chemical burns to the eye require copious flushing and an ophthalmology consult upon arrival at the hospital. Late complications such as corneal ulceration, secondary glaucoma and cataracts are common and require follow-up.

4.2.2 Debriding

Discussion:

Debridement serves to remove microbes, foreign bodies, debris and non-viable tissue from a wound to promote wound closure. As with wound cleansing, the appropriate method of debridement should be determined based on the needs of the patient and their wound, the environment, available resources and the scope of practice of the health-care clinician completing the debridement. Biological, mechanical, hydro surgical, chemical, autolytic and enzymatic debridement methods have all been reported in the literature as effective options for burn injuries to various degrees, although most research focuses on surgical debridement of major burn injuries to facilitate wound coverage (either using xenografts, allografts, autografts or skin substitutes) and reconstruction. The Wounds Canada [Skin and Wound Clean-up Product Picker](#) is a useful tool to help clinicians choose the most appropriate form of wound debridement.

Debriding Blisters: If blisters are greater than 1 cm², are filled with cloudy serous fluid or blood, or are in an area where they are prone to break with routine activities or are impeding joint function, they should be deroofted. All blisters secondary to chemical burns should be deroofted.

4.2.3 Managing Bacterial Balance

Discussion:

Acute burn injury infections are one of the most serious complications, significantly contributing to morbidity and mortality. Infections are the result of the interruption in the skin's barrier, immune dysfunction and invasive procedures. Management must focus on optimizing the host response, reducing the number or virulence of micro-organisms in the wound and optimizing the wound environment.⁸ Strategies to manage bacterial burden at the surface of the burn wound include prophylactic and therapeutic use of topical antiseptics and antimicrobials (see Table 8).

The Wounds Canada [Wound Dressing Formulary](#) and [Wound Dressing Selection Guide](#) product pickers are useful tools that can help clinicians choose the most appropriate antimicrobial dressing.

In the presence of spreading or systemic infection, systemic antimicrobials are indicated, selected based on the results of a wound swab (obtained using the Levine technique) or tissue biopsy and histopathology. Common organisms in critically ill burn injured patients with bacteremia include *Staphylococcus aureus*,

Table 8: Common Topical Antimicrobials Used in Burn Management

Agent	Description
Silver dressings*	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Silver-containing calcium alginates, foams, gels, gelling fibres and non-adherent synthetic contact layers ▪ Some formulations kill bacteria within the dressing, others release silver into the wound bed itself. ▪ Broad-spectrum coverage ▪ May be toxic in high concentrations to fibroblasts and keratinocytes or if not delivered in a sustained-release manner ▪ Most require less frequent dressing changes (except for the silver gel).
Honey	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Leptospermum honey-containing calcium alginates, gels and pastes ▪ Biocidal effect is multifactorial ▪ Broad-spectrum coverage ▪ Low toxicity ▪ Most require less frequent applications (except for the gel and paste).
PMHB	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Polyhexamethylene biguanide (PHMB)-containing ribbon gauze, gauze squares, transfer foam, foam, gel and non-adherent synthetic contact layer ▪ Bacteria kill occurs largely in/on the dressing. ▪ Broad-spectrum coverage ▪ Low toxicity ▪ Most require less frequent dressing changes (except for the PMHB gel).
Gentian Violet/ Methylene Blue	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Gentian violet- and methylene blue-containing polyvinyl alcohol or polyurethane foam ▪ Biocidal effect is multifactorial ▪ Broad spectrum coverage ▪ Non-cytotoxic ▪ Require less frequent dressing changes
PVP-I	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Knitted viscose fabric impregnated with polyethylene glycol containing 1% povidone iodine ▪ Biocidal ▪ Broad spectrum coverage ▪ Require less frequent dressing changes ▪ <i>Used specifically for prevention of infection in minor burns</i>
Silver sulfadiazine (SSD) cream	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Water-soluble cream containing 1% silver sulfadiazine ▪ Bacteriostatic ▪ Broad-spectrum, but lacks fungal and vancomycin-resistant enterococci activity ▪ Has cytotoxic effects on fibroblasts and keratinocytes and may delay healing of superficial burns⁷¹ ▪ May create a pseudo eschar ▪ Requires frequent applications (more than once daily) ▪ Avoid in patients with sulfonamide allergies (sulfa).
Mafenide acetate cream or solution	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> ▪ Topical sulfonamide antibiotic available as 11% water-soluble cream or 5% solution ▪ Broad-spectrum but lacks antifungal activity, penetrates deeper than SSD ▪ Cytotoxic effects on fibroblasts and keratinocytes and may impede wound healing ▪ Painful on application and may cause local rash or skin irritation ▪ May create pseudo eschar ▪ Requires frequent applications (more than once daily) ▪ May cause severe metabolic acidemia with compensatory hyperventilation when applied to large burns

***A Note on Silver Dressings**

The 2018 International Society for Burn Injuries (ISBI) Practice Guidelines for Burn Care made the following recommendations for the use of silver as a topical agent: "Silver-containing compounds and dressings are effective topical antimicrobial agents. However, silver also has cytotoxic effects which may delay wound healing. Silver-based topical agents are appropriate for deeper burns (essentially those awaiting surgery)."⁷³

Pseudomonas aeruginosa, *Klebsiella*, *Escherichia coli*, *Enterococcus* and *Acinetobacter* species.^{74–75} Such infections typically require surgical debridement of the involved tissue in conjunction with systemic broad-spectrum antimicrobials.⁷⁶ The International Wound Infection Institute has created several enablers for optimal infection management that can be useful tools for clinicians. (Resources can be found at www.woundinfection-institute.com.)

4.2.4 Managing Moisture Balance

Discussion:

In addition to strategies noted in Recommendation 4.1 to improve the overall hydration status of a patient with a burn injury (such as fluid resuscitation and nutrition interventions), moisture balance within the wound base can be achieved through appropriate dressing selection and dressing change frequency.

4.3 Select the appropriate dressings and/or advanced therapy.

Discussion:

A good burn injury dressing has the following characteristics (where indicated):⁷⁷

- Promotes autolytic debridement of non-viable tissue
- Protects against infection and environmental contamination/trauma
- Maintains a moist wound environment while containing or wicking away excess moisture
- Reduces evaporative losses
- Is non-adherent to protect delicate skin



- Contours easily and conforms to the wound bed
- Aids with splinting or immobilization
- Is esthetically pleasing
- Is easy to apply and remove
- Is painless on application, with wear and on removal
- Is cost-effective (including the cost of the product, frequency of dressing change and the cost of health-care professional time)

The [Wounds Canada Wound Dressing Formulary](#) and [Wound Dressing Selection Guide](#) product pickers are useful tools that can help clinicians choose the most appropriate wound dressing. Table 9 lists the common dressings that meet the characteristics noted above and that are commonly used on burn injuries. This table does not reference antimicrobial dressings, which were discussed in Recommendation 4.2.3.

Table 9: Common Dressings Used in Burn Injury Management

Dressing Category	Indication (based on a review of manufacturer dressing indications)
Acrylic dressings	▪ Superficial partial-thickness burns, donor sites
Calcium alginates	▪ Superficial partial-thickness and deep partial-thickness burns, donor sites
Film/membranes	▪ Superficial partial-thickness burns
Foams	▪ Superficial partial-thickness and deep partial-thickness burns, donor sites
Gelling fibres	▪ Superficial partial-thickness and deep partial-thickness burns, donor sites
Hydrocolloids	▪ Superficial partial-thickness burns, donor sites
Hydrogels	▪ Superficial partial-thickness burns
Non-adherent contact layers	▪ Superficial partial-thickness and deep partial-thickness burns, grafts
Pain controlling dressings	▪ Superficial partial-thickness burns, donor sites

A Cochrane review of dressings for superficial and partial-thickness burns conducted in 2013 revealed that “silver sulphadiazine was consistently associated with poorer healing outcomes than biosynthetic, silicon-coated and silver dressings whilst hydrogel-treated burns had better healing outcomes than those treated with usual care.”⁷⁸ The authors noted, however, that there was a lack of high-quality evidence from which to draw conclusions.

Advanced therapies: In the absence of robust evidence, expert opinion suggests that the use of common advanced therapies such as electrical stimulation therapy, low-level laser therapy, negative pressure wound therapy, therapeutic pulsed ultrasound and ultraviolet C light therapy is not appropriate in the treatment of acute burn wounds. Such therapies activate healing by stimulating inflammatory processes (growth factor release) and proliferation, and, in an acute burn situation, may result in excessive tissue growth and scarring. The same may not be applicable for chronic burn injuries;

however, evidence supporting the use of common advanced therapies in such situations is also lacking.

As previously mentioned, xenografts, allografts, autografts or skin substitutes are commonplace in the management of major burns, used to facilitate early wound coverage and reconstruction. Once the thermally injured patient has been admitted, resuscitated, and all wounds have been assessed and managed appropriately with escharotomy and dressing, the surgeons needs to determine the most efficient course of action regarding excision of burn and coverage. This should be undertaken as soon as the patient is resuscitated, usually within 24–48 hours post-injury.

There is also emerging experimental data that supports cell salvage in burn injuries using non-ionic surfactants such as poloxamers, poloxamines and plurogel micelle matrix.⁷⁹


4.4 Engage the team to ensure consistent implementation of the plan of care.

Discussion:

To ensure the best experiences and outcomes for patients with burn injuries, it is imperative that health-care professionals involved in the care of people with burns keep abreast of current research and innovations in burn wound management. Whether it be developments in burn injury prevention, assessment or management knowledge and/or skills, all professional members of the team have an obligation to remain up-to-date and to share information on the latest evidence, practice and self-management strategies.



Step 5: Evaluate Outcomes



Step 5: Evaluate Outcomes

Recommendations

5.1 Determine if the outcomes have met the goals of care.

Discussion:

Routine reassessment using validated tools helps clinicians determine if the goals of the prevention and/or treatment plan have been met. If the person with the burn injury:

- Is progressing in a timely manner toward the achievement of their goals—carry on with the plan of care
- Is not progressing in a timely manner toward the achievement of their goals—return to Step 1 of the Wound Prevention and Management Cycle and reassess the patient, their wound and the environment and system factors
- Has met their goals—plan for discharge by reviewing self-management strategies

Common expected outcomes for people with burn injuries by depth are noted in Table 10.

Table 10: Common Expected Burn Outcomes by Burn Depth

Classification	Expected Outcomes
Superficial (first degree)	<ul style="list-style-type: none">▪ Healing within 3–5 days*▪ No scarring
Superficial partial-thickness (second degree)	<ul style="list-style-type: none">▪ Healing within 14–21 days*▪ No scarring
Deep partial-thickness (deep second degree)	<ul style="list-style-type: none">▪ Prolonged healing (may require skin grafting)▪ Minimal scarring and contractures
Full-thickness (third degree)	<ul style="list-style-type: none">▪ Prolonged healing (will require skin grafting)▪ Moderate to considerable scarring and contractures
Full-thickness (fourth degree)	<ul style="list-style-type: none">▪ Prolonged healing (amputation possible and will require skin grafting)▪ Moderate to severe scarring and contractures

*These times may vary based on a patient's pre-existing comorbidities.

5.2 Reassess patient, wound, environment and system if goals are partially met or unmet.

Discussion:

When the goals of care have not been met in a timely manner (see Table 10, above), it is imperative to go back to Step 1 of the Wound Prevention and Management Cycle: Assess and/or Reassess. Careful reassessment of the patient, their wound and environment and systems factors will most often reveal modifiable factors that need to be addressed.

5.3 Ensure sustainability to support prevention and reduce risk of recurrence.

Discussion:

At discharge, people with or at risk for burn injuries, and their caregivers, require information regarding the cause and risk factors for burn injuries as well as their risk for re-injury. Both formal and informal educational methods are beneficial, including the use of standardized patient-education materials as well as individualized demonstration and review of prevention and management techniques. Specific teaching relevant to a newly closed burn injury includes:

- **Skin care:** For at least 12 months post closure, burn-injured skin requires daily (or more frequent) cleansing and moisturization (using an emollient). Products that are pH-balanced, non-scented and non-sensitizing are preferred. The fragile tissue should also be protected from the sun during this period, as it is more prone to sunburn, and sun exposure may cause further pigmentation changes.
- **Burn itch:** Itchiness at the site of the burn injury is common post wound closure and can be worsened by heat, stress and physical activity. Keeping the tissue moisturized as noted above, keeping the area cool, employing techniques such as relaxation and distraction, and using pressure garments are some non-pharmacological techniques effective in reducing itch. Topical and oral antihistamines may also be required.
- **Hypertrophic scarring:** Burn wounds, like all wounds, heal with the formation of scar tissue. The amount of scar produced generally relates to the depth of the injury sustained. If not managed appropriately, scars can become hypertrophic, and if they happen to cross one joint or more, can cause contracture and, as a result, decreased function of the area. The length of time for a burn scar to mature can be two years or more.⁶⁵ Treatment of hypertrophic burn scars involves several different modalities. Monstrey et al. suggest that pressure and silicone therapy should be applied in burn wounds that take longer than two to three weeks to close or after skin grafting.⁸⁰ Nedelec et al. support the use of nonsilicone and silicone gels and



gel sheeting after burn injury.⁸¹ Pressure is applied in the form of pressure garments—often custom measured and fit for each patient—as soon as possible after wound healing. Despite the widespread use of pressure garments, the mechanism of their function is not well understood. Some authors suggest they can help by reducing oxygen tension in the scar,⁸² while others believe it has cellular effects on mechanoreceptors regulating apoptosis of dermal fibroblasts and cytokine regulation, which would reduce scarring.⁸³ Pressure garments may also be helpful with the edema, pruritus and pain that can be associated with burn scars.⁸⁴ Silicone gels and silicone gel sheeting have been used in combination with compression. Borgognoni suggests that possible mechanisms of action could be occlusion and hydration of the scar surface (stratum corneum), and this is not limited to just silicone products.⁸⁵ Other products such as mineral oil-based gel sheeting, hydrocolloid products, silicone-based elastomer inserts and splinting material are also useful. Burn scar massage may also be beneficial, although current evidence is inconclusive.

Burn prevention is a topic relevant to both people with burn injuries and those at risk for such injuries. The simple safety measures below are a sample of interventions that reduce the risk of burn injury. They should be discussed with all patients to whom they are relevant.

Strategies to Support Burn Prevention

Scald Prevention

- Ensure water heater temperatures are not too high (50°C).
- Keep hot drinks away from table/counter edges.
- Avoid drinking hot liquids through a straw.
- Turn the handles of saucepans inward.
- Put cold water into baths first, followed by hot water, and test the temperature before using.

Contact Burn Prevention

- Test the temperature of car seats before placing children in them.
- Unplug hot irons and keep them out of reach of children.
- Keep children away from grills.
- Use approved glass or metal protective screens in front of fireplaces.
- Wear oven mitts to remove items from the stove.

Fire/Flash/Flame Prevention

- Install smoke alarms and consider installing sprinklers.
- Make a fire escape plan and have regular fire drills.
- Use child resistant-lighters and safely store lighters and matches.
- Use space heaters carefully, and keep them away from anything that can burn.
- Never leave candles unattended.

Electricity Burn Prevention

- Put covers on electrical outlets that are within a child's reach.
- Throw out electrical cords that are frayed or damaged.

- Avoid overloading extension cords or outlets.
- If flooding occurs, turn off electrical circuits before stepping into the water.
- Avoid using hairdryers or other electrical appliances near water.

Radiation Burn Prevention

- Avoid direct sun exposure between 10 a.m. and 4 p.m.
- Wear clothing with UPF 50+ protection.
- Wear sunglasses with UV protection.
- Avoid tanning and UV tanning beds.
- Apply sunscreen to your entire body 30 minutes before going outside and reapply every two hours and immediately after swimming.

Chemical Burn Prevention

- Store chemicals in their original containers.
- Maintain labels on containers holding chemicals.
- Store chemicals out of the reach of children.
- When possible, purchase chemicals with the least toxicity.
- Purchase chemicals with child-resistant closures.
- Wear protective clothing/equipment when handling chemicals (follow manufacturer labels).

At discharge, the plan of care needs to be revisited and revised as needed to ensure that appropriate self-management strategies are in place to support the patient and sustain outcomes.

Conclusion

The Best Practice Recommendations for the Prevention and Management of Burns identifies the need for wound specialists across Canada and a practical guide for multidisciplinary wound care providers, administrators and educators to assist them in developing patient-driven, comprehensive, evidence-informed plans of care.

In summary, the first step is to holistically assess the needs of the patient and their burn wound. Determining burn severity is an important outcome of this assessment, as this will dictate the most appropriate location for care. Most minor non-complex burns can be managed on an outpatient basis, whereas moderate burns may require hospitalization and major burns need immediate transfer to a burn centre. Patient and burn wound assessment will also allow for prediction of outcomes based on burn severity, as, generally, superficial burns heal spontaneously with no scar within one week, superficial partial-thickness burns close with conservative treatment and no scar within three weeks, and deep partial-thickness and full-thickness burns heal (with varied amounts of scarring and contracture) over greater periods of time and may require surgical intervention. Furthermore, there is a complexity that needs to be considered when a patient is assessed for morbidity and mortality risk, mental health, quality of life, and coping and social environment. These guidelines delineate these aspects and give the reader guidance into the complex assessment of burn wounds.

Once the patient is properly assessed, realistic goals need to be set, and a plan of care developed, which is reflected in steps 2 and 4 of the Wound Prevention and Management Cycle. The management of burn wounds requires an integrated team approach, the importance of which cannot be overemphasized (see Step 3). Such interventions can be divided into emergent and non-emergent patient needs and may include fluid resuscitation, escharotomies, fasciotomies, transfer to a burn centre, treatment of inhalation injury, and maintaining organ function and circulation. The general rule is, if in doubt, call a burn centre to receive appropriate guidance and support.

The surgical plan for a patient with a burn injury may include debridement and autografting. At this time there is no alternative to the gold standard: using split-thickness skin grafts from the burn patient (autologous skin grafting). There are various means to that, using some dermal substitutes or some skin substitutions. But currently, the ultimate success depends on patient's healing, and therefore split-thickness skin grafting is the treatment of choice.

There are a variety of dressings from which to choose. Availability may differ between burn centres or localities. Each dressing has its own indications, but no single one guarantees success.

Long-term outcomes of a burn patient are very different than previously thought, as burn wound closure historically was synonymous with recovery. This dogma has changed significantly over the last 10 years, however, as we realize a burn patient who has healed may not have entirely completed their treatment. There are long-term consequences for burn patients. These consequences not only include scarring or scar contracture restriction in mobility, strength and quality of life, but also metabolic and physiologic aspects as well as mental health. Mental health has a significant impact on successful long-term outcomes, and in fact dictates the degree of recovery and quality of life. Therefore, following up with a burn patient for a prolonged period is essential.

This document provides clinicians with focused and practical guidance to assess a burn patient, create goals, assemble a team, and develop, implement and evaluate a plan of care that includes sustainability over the long term and through transitions of location and healing phase. Burn care can be delivered in many settings, depending on the severity and type of injury. When in doubt, however, it is best to call a specialized burn centre to receive information and assistance in developing a plan of care, delivering care or identifying the need for specific interventions.



References



References

1. World Health Organization. Injuries and Violence: The Facts 2014. Geneva, Switzerland: WHO; 2014. Retrieved from: http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/149798/9789241508018_eng.pdf?sequence=1.
2. Oliver LN, Kohen DE. Unintentional injury hospitalizations among children and youth in areas with a high percentage of Aboriginal identify residents: 2001–2002 to 2005/2006. Ottawa: Statistics Canada Health Reports; 2012;23(2). Retrieved from: www150.statcan.gc.ca/n1/en/pub/82-003-x/2012003/article/11699-eng.pdf?st=fqaKeeL3.
3. The Cost of Injury in Canada. Toronto: Parachute, in collaboration with The Conference Board of Canada; 2015. Retrieved from: www.parachutecanada.org/downloads/research/Cost_of_Injury-2015.pdf.
4. Crain J, McFaull S, Rao DP, et al. Emergency department surveillance of thermal burns and scalds, electronic Canadian Hospitals Injury Reporting and Prevention Program, 2013. Health Promot Chronic Dis Prev Can. 2017;37(1):30–31.
5. Clare J, Kelly H. Fire and At-risk populations in Canada: Analysis of the Canadian National Fire Information Database. Perth, Western Australia: Murdoch University; 2017. Retrieved from: <https://cjr.ufv.ca/wp-content/uploads/2018/04/Murdoch-University-Fire-and-at-Risk-Populations.pdf>.
6. Billet JM, Janz T. Injuries in Canada: Insights from the Canadian Community Health Survey. Ottawa: Government of Canada, Statistics Canada; 2015. Retrieved from: www150.statcan.gc.ca/n1/pub/82-624-x/2011001/article/11506-eng.htm.
7. Clouatre E, Gomez M, Banfield JM, et al. Work-related burn injuries in Ontario, Canada: A follow-up 10-year retrospective study. Burns. 2013;39(6):1091–1095.
8. Orsted HL, Keast DH, Forest-Lalande L, et al. Best practice recommendations for the prevention and management of wounds. In: Foundations of Best Practice for Skin and Wound Management. Supplement. Toronto: Wound Care Canada; 2017.
9. Orsted HL, Keast DH, Forest-Lalande L, et al. Skin: Physiology, anatomy and wound healing. In: Foundations of Best Practice for Skin and Wound Management. Supplement. Toronto: Wound Care Canada; 2017.
10. Registered Nurses' Association of Ontario (RNAO). Clinical Best Practice Guidelines: Assessment and Management of Foot Ulcers for People with Diabetes. 2nd ed. Toronto: The Association; 2013. Retrieved from: http://rnao.ca/sites/rnao-ca/files/Assessment_and_Management_of_Foot_Ulcers_for_People_with_Diabetes_Second_Edition1.pdf.
11. Gomez M, Wong DT, Stewart TE, et al. The FLAMES Score accurately predicts mortality risk in burn patients. J Trauma Inj Infect Crit Care. 2008;65(3):636–645.
12. Upton D, Upton P. Psychology of Wounds and Wound Care in Clinical Practice. Basel, Switzerland: Springer International Publishing; 2015.
13. Taal LA, Faber AW. The burn specific pain anxiety scale: Introduction of a reliable and valid measure. Burns. 1997;23(2):147–50.
14. Yoder LH, Nayback AM, Gaylord K. The evolution and utility of the burn specific health scale: A systematic review. Burns. 2010;36(8):1143–1156.
15. Ryan CM, Schneider JC, Kazis LE, et al. Benchmarks for multidimensional recovery after burn injury in young adults: The development, validation, and testing of the American Burn Association/Shriners Hospitals for children young adult burn outcome questionnaire. J Burn Care Res. 2013;34(3):e121–e124.

16. Kazis LE, Liang MH, Lee AF, et al. The development, validation, and testing of health outcomes burn questionnaire for infants and children 5 years of age and younger. American Burn Association/Shriners Hospitals for Children. *J Burn Care Rehabil.* 2002;23(3):196–207.
17. Daltory LH, Liang MH, Phillips CB, et al. American Burn Association/Shriners Hospitals for children burn outcomes questionnaire: Construction and psychometric properties. *J Burn Care Rehabil.* 2000;21(1 Pt 1):29–39.
18. Masters M, McMahon M, Svens G. Reliability testing of a new scar assessment tool, Matching Assessment of Scars and Photographs (MAPS). *J Burn Care Rehabil.* 2005;26(3):273–84.
19. Draaijers JL, Tempelman FR, Botman YAM, et al. The Patient and Observer Scar Assessment Scale: A reliable and feasible tool for scar evaluation. *Plast Reconstr Surg.* 2004;113(7):1960–5.
20. Lawrence JW, Heinberg LJ, Roca RP, et al. Development and validation of the Satisfaction with Appearance Scale: Assessing body image among burn-injured patients. *Psychol Assess.* 1998;10(1):64–70.
21. Sullivan T, Smith J, Kermode J, et al. Rating the burn scar. *J Burn Care Rehabil.* 1990;11(3):256–60.
22. Mahar PD, Wasiak J, O’Loughlin J, et al. Frequency and use of pain assessment tools implemented in randomized controlled trials in the adult burns population: A systematic review. *Burns.* 2012;38(2):147–154.
23. Posthauer ME, Dorner B, Collins N. Nutrition: A critical component of wound healing. *Adv Skin Wound Care.* 2011;23(12):560–572.
24. Jensen GL, Compheer C, Sullivan DH, et al. Recognizing malnutrition in adults: Definitions and characteristics, screening, assessment and team approach. *J Parenter Enteral Nutr.* 2013;37(6):802–807.
25. Wounds International. International Best Practice Guidelines: Effective Skin and Wound Management of Non-Complex Burns. London, England: Wounds International; 2014. Retrieved from: www.woundsinternational.com/media/issues/943/files/content_11308.pdf.
26. Mason SA, Nathens AB, Byrne JP, et al. Association between burn injury and mental illness among burn survivors: A population-based, self-matched, longitudinal cohort study. *J Am Coll Surg.* 2017;225(4):516–524.
27. Casavant AM, Hastings H. Heterotopic ossification about the elbow: A therapist’s guide to evaluation and management. *J Hand Ther.* 2006;19(2):255–66.
28. Haberal M, Uqar K, Bayraktar O, et al. Severe complications in electrical burns (including visceral complications). *Ann Burns Fire Disasters.* 1996;9(3): 149–53.
29. Kowalske K, Holavanahalli R, Helm P. Neuropathy after burn injury. *J Burn Care Rehabil.* 2001;22(5):353–7; discussion 352.
30. Morgan M, Deuis JR, Frosig-Jorgensen M, et al. Burn pain: A systematic and critical review of epidemiology, pathophysiology, and treatment. *Pain Med.* 2018;19(4):708–732.
31. Spronk I, Legemate CM, Dokter J, et al. Predictors of health-related quality of life after burn injuries: A systematic review. *Crit Care.* 2018;22:160.
32. Sengoelge M, El-Khatib Z, Laflamme L. The global burden of child burn injuries in light of country level economic development and income inequality. *Prev Med Rep.* 2017;6:115–120.

33. Waghmare CM. Radiation burn: From mechanism to management. *Burns*. 2013;39(2):212–219.
34. Hettiaratchy S, Dziwulski P. ABC of burns. Pathophysiology and types of burns. *BMJ*. 2004;328(7453):1427–9.
35. Heimbach DM, Afromowitz MA, Engrav LH, et al. Burn depth estimation—Man or machine. *J Trauma*. 1984;24(5):373–8.
36. Jaskille AD, Ramella-Roman JC, Shupp JW, et al. Critical review of burn depth assessment techniques: Part II. Review of laser doppler technology. *J Burn Care Res*. 2010;31(1):151–157.
37. Wallace AB. The exposure treatment of burns. *Lancet*. 1951;1(6653):501–4.
38. Minimus DA. A critical evaluation of the Lund and Browder chart. *Wounds UK*. 2007;3(3):58–68.
39. Thom D. Appraising current methods for preclinical calculation of burn size —A pre-hospital perspective. *Burns*. 2017;43(1):127–136.
40. Hammond JS, Ward CG. Transfers from emergency room to burn center: Errors in burn size estimate. *J Trauma*. 1987;27(10):1161–5.
41. Greenhalgh DG, Saffle JR, Holmes JH, et al. American Burn Association consensus conference to define sepsis and infection in burns. *J Burn Care Res*. 2007;28(6):776–90.
42. Nutrition Services, Alberta Health Services. Setting SMART Goals. Edmonton: Government of Alberta; 2016. Retrieved from: <https://myhealth.alberta.ca/Alberta/Pages/Setting-smart-goals.aspx>.
43. Howe A. Can the patient be on our team? An operational approach to patient involvement in interprofessional approaches to safe care. *J Interprof Care*. 2006;20(5):527–534.
44. American Burn Association. Burn Center Referral Criteria. Chicago: The Association; 2017. Retrieved from: <http://ameriburn.org/wp-content/uploads/2017/05/burncenterreferralcriteria.pdf>.
45. Venter TH, Karpelowsky JS, Rode H. Cooling of the burn wound: The ideal temperature of the coolant. *Burns*. 2007;33(7):917–22.
46. Cartotto R. The burned hand: Optimizing long-term outcomes with a standardized approach to acute and subacute care. *Clin Plastic Surg*. 2005;32(4):515–527.
47. Smith MA, Munster AM, Spence RJ. Burns of the hand and upper limb: A review. *Burns*. 1998;24(6):493–505.
48. Sheridan RL, Hurley J, Smith MA, et al. The acutely burned hand: Management and outcomes based on a ten-year experience with 1047 acute hand burns. *J Trauma*. 1995;38(3):406–11.
49. Dries DJ, Waxman K. Adequate resuscitation for burn patients may not be measured by urine output and vital signs. *Crit Care Med*. 1991;19(3):327–9.
50. Light TD, Jeng JC, Jain AK, et al. Real-time metabolic monitors, ischemia reperfusion, titration endpoints, and ultraprecise burn resuscitation. *J Burn Care Rehabil*. 2004;25(1):33–44.
51. Jeng JC, Lee K, Jablonski K, et al. Serum lactate and base deficit suggest inadequate resuscitation of patients with burn injuries: Application of a point-of-care laboratory instrument. *J Burn Care Rehabil*. 1997;18(5):402–5.

52. Choi J, Cooper A, Gomez M, et al. The relevance of base deficits after burn injuries. *J Burn Care Rehabil.* 2000;21(6):499–505.
53. Cartotto R, Choi J, Gomez M, et al. A prospective study on the implications of a base deficit during fluid resuscitation. *Burn Care Rehabil.* 2003;24(2):75–84.
54. Jeng JC, Jablonski K, Bridgeman A, et al. Serum lactate, not base deficit, rapidly predicts survival after major burns. *Burns.* 2002;28(2):161–6.
55. The Canadian Red Cross Society. *First Aid & CPR Manual.* Guelph, Canada: The StayWell Health Company Ltd.; 2006.
56. Gamst-Jensen H, Vedel PN, Lindberg-Larsen VO, et al. Acute pain management in burn patients: Appraisal and thematic analysis of four clinical guidelines. *Burns.* 2014;40(8):1463–1469.
57. Meyer III WJ, Patterson DR, Jaco M, et al. Management of pain and other discomforts in burned patients. In: Herndon DN, editor. *Total Burn Care.* 2nd ed. Amsterdam: Elsevier Saunders; 2007. p.797–814.
58. Stoddard FJ, Sheridan RL, Saxe GN, et al. Treatment of pain in acutely burned children. *J Burn Care Rehabil.* 2002;23(2):135–56.
59. Morgan M, Deuis JR, Frosig-Jorgensen M, et al. Burn pain: A systemic and critical review of epidemiology, pathophysiology, and treatment. *Pain Med.* 2018;19(4):708–734.
60. Kaul I, Amin A, Rosenberg M, et al. Use of gabapentin and pregabalin for pruritus and neuropathic pain associated with major burn injury: A retrospective chart review. *Burns.* 2018;44(2):414–422.
61. World Health Organization. WHO's Pain Relief Ladder. Switzerland: WHO; 2014. Retrieved from: www.who.int/cancer/palliative/painladder/en.
62. Bosmans MWG, Hofland HW, De Jong AE, et al. Coping with burns: The role of coping self-efficacy in the recovery from traumatic stress following burn injuries. *J Behav Med.* 2015;38(4):642–651.
63. Erger MM, Pantet O. Nutrition in burn injury: Any recent changes. *Curr Opin Crit Care.* 2016;22(4):285–291.
64. Rousseau AF, Losser MR, Ichai C, et al. ESPEN endorsed recommendations: Nutritional therapy in major burns. *Clin Nutr.* 2013;32(4):497–502.
65. Serghiou MA, Ott S, Farmer S, et al. Comprehensive rehabilitation of the burn patient. In: Herndon DN, editor. *Total Burn Care.* 4th ed. Amsterdam: Elsevier Saunders; 2012. p.517–549.
66. Procter F. Rehabilitation of the burn patient. *Indian J Plast Surg.* 2010;43(Suppl):S101–S113.
67. Nedelec B, Serghiou MA, Niszcza JMS, et al. Practice guidelines for early ambulation of burn survivors after lower extremity grafts. *J Burn Care Res.* 2012;33(3):319–329.
68. Porter C, Hardee J, Herndon DN, et al. The role of exercise in the rehabilitation of patients with severe burns. *Exerc Sport Sci Rev.* 2015;43(1):34–40.
69. Gittings PM, Grisbrook TL, Edgar DW, et al. Resistance training for rehabilitation after burn injury: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Burns.* 2018;44(4):731–751.
70. Nedelec B, Parry IMS, Acharya H, et al. Practice guidelines for cardiovascular fitness and strengthening exercise prescription after burn injury. *J Burn Care Res.* 2016;37(6):e539–e558.

71. Serghiou MA, Niszcak J, Parry I, et al. Clinical practice recommendations for positioning of the burn patient. *Burns*. 2016;42(2):267–275.
72. Suman OE, Mlcak RP, Herndon DN. Effect of exercise training on pulmonary function in children with thermal injury. *J Burn Care Rehabil*. 2002;23(4):288–293.
73. ISBI Practice Guidelines Committee; Advisory Subcommittee; Steering Subcommittee. ISBI practice guidelines for burn care, Part 2. *Burns*. 2018;44(7):1617–1706.
74. Patel BM, Paratz JD, Mallet A, et al. Characteristics of bloodstream infections in burn patients: An 11-year retrospective study. *Burns*. 2012;38(5):685–690.
75. Shupp JW, Pavlovich AR, Jeng JC, et al. Epidemiology of blood-stream infections in burn-injured patients: A review of the national burn repository. *J Burn Care Res*. 2010;31(4):521–528
76. Lundy JB, Chung KK, Pamplin JC, et al. Update for severe burn management for the intensivist. *J Intensive Care Med*. 2016;31(8):499–510.
77. Alsbjorn B, Gilbert P, Hartmann B, et al. Guidelines for the management of partial-thickness burns in a general hospital or community setting: Recommendations of a European Working Party. *Burns*. 2007;33(2):155–60.
78. Wasiak J, Cleland H, Campbell F, et al. Dressings for superficial and partial thickness burns. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2013;3:CD002106.
79. Mayer D, Armstrong D, Schultz G, et al. Cell salvage in acute and chronic wounds: A potential treatment strategy. Experimental data and early clinical results. *J Wound Care*. 2018;27(9):594–605.
80. Monstrey S, Middlekoop E, Vranckx JJ, et al. Updated scar management practical guidelines: Non-invasive and invasive measures. *J Plast Reconstr Aesthet Surg*. 2014;67(8):1017–25.
81. Nedelec B, Carter A, Forbes L, et al. Practice guidelines for the application of nonsilicone or silicone gels and gel sheets after burn injury. *J Burn Care Res*. 2015;36(3):345–374.
82. Macintyre L, Baird M. Pressure garments for use in the treatment of hypertrophic scars — A review of problems associated with their use. *Burns*. 2006;32(1):10–5.
83. Reno F, Sabbatini M, Lombardi F, et al. In vitro mechanical compression induces apoptosis and regulates cytokines release in hypertrophic scars. *Wound Repair Regen*. 2003;11(5):331–6.
84. Ripper S, Renneberg B, Landmann C, et al. Adherence to pressure garment therapy in adult burn patients. *Burns*. 2009;35(5):657–64.
85. Khansa I, Harrison B, Janis JE. Evidence-based scar management: How to improve results with techniques and technology. *Plast Reconstr Surg*. 2016;138(3S):165S–178S.

