

PICO° sNPWT† has been shown to significantly reduce wound area and depth when compared with tNPWT‡ in patients with VLUs and DFUs over 12 weeks.1

Turn around wound healing trajectory more effectively than standard dressings and tNPWT with PICO.2

Smith-Nephew



Helping you get CLOSER TO ZERO[⋄]

delay in wound healing smith-nephew.com/pico

References: 1. Kirsner R, Dove C, Reyzelman A, Vayser D, Jaimes H. A prospective, randomized, controlled clinical trial on the efficacy of a single-use negative pressure wound therapy system, compared to traditional negative pressure wound therapy in the treatment of chronic ulcers of the lower extremities. Wound Rep Regen. 2019. May 14 https://doi.org/10.1111/wrr.12727. 2. Dowsett C, et al. Use of PICO° to improve clinical and economic outcomes in hard-to-heal wounds. Wounds International. 2017;8, p53–58. *45 vs 22%; p=0.002; ITT population. † Single Use Negative Pressure Wound Therapy (sNPWT). ‡ Traditional Negative Pressure Wound Therapy (tNPWT). ♦Trademark of Smith & Nephew. All Trademarks acknowledged. ©October 2019 Smith & Nephew. AWM-AWD-20619 | CA48405 03/21